

**2016 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Jan 17, 2017**

SCORING OFFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	11	44	17	38	1	0	0	355	32.3
2. UT Martin	12	51	11	44	1	0	1	387	32.2
3. Jacksonville State	12	49	12	41	0	0	0	371	30.9
4. Tennessee Tech	11	37	13	33	1	0	0	296	26.9
5. Eastern Illinois	11	39	7	39	0	0	0	294	26.7
6. Eastern Kentucky	11	36	10	29	5	0	1	287	26.1
7. Murray State	11	33	20	27	1	0	0	287	26.1
8. Austin Peay	11	35	5	30	1	1	0	259	23.5
9. Southeast Missouri	11	25	18	23	1	0	0	229	20.8

RUSHING DEFENSE	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	12	415	1072	2.6	50	12	89.3
2. UT Martin	12	424	1540	3.6	65	16	128.3
3. Southeast Missouri	11	414	1523	3.7	70	8	138.5
4. Tennessee Tech	11	401	1710	4.3	73	18	155.5
5. Eastern Illinois	11	440	1745	4.0	77	20	158.6
6. Tennessee State	11	390	1956	5.0	61	18	177.8
7. Eastern Kentucky	11	522	2204	4.2	69	23	200.4
8. Murray State	11	468	2242	4.8	75	21	203.8
9. Austin Peay	11	442	2836	6.4	84	38	257.8

SCORING DEFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	12	27	10	21	1	0	2	219	18.2
2. UT Martin	12	36	13	29	2	0	0	288	24.0
3. Southeast Missouri	11	33	13	27	2	0	0	268	24.4
4. Eastern Illinois	11	38	15	35	1	0	0	310	28.2
5. Tennessee Tech	11	38	18	34	0	0	0	316	28.7
6. Tennessee State	11	41	13	36	3	1	1	331	30.1
7. Eastern Kentucky	11	43	13	39	0	0	0	336	30.5
8. Murray State	11	49	14	39	5	0	1	387	35.2
9. Austin Peay	11	70	10	65	1	0	0	517	47.0

PASS OFFENSE	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	11	302-477-14	63.3	3337	7.0	24	303.4
2. Tennessee State	11	183-315-11	58.1	2730	8.7	26	248.2
3. Eastern Kentucky	11	215-391-20	55.0	2724	7.0	14	247.6
4. Tennessee Tech	11	219-351-7	62.4	2608	7.4	18	237.1
5. UT Martin	12	199-362-17	55.0	2759	7.6	25	229.9
6. Eastern Illinois	11	201-337-13	59.6	2424	7.2	17	220.4
7. Southeast Missouri	11	180-364-8	49.5	2350	6.5	11	213.6
8. Austin Peay	11	166-291-9	57.0	2028	7.0	16	184.4
9. Jacksonville State	12	161-318-8	50.6	2202	6.9	13	183.5

TOTAL OFFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	12	3007	2202	876	5209	5.9	45	434.1
2. Tennessee State	11	1836	2730	693	4566	6.6	42	415.1
3. Eastern Illinois	11	2029	2424	811	4453	5.5	38	404.8
4. Eastern Kentucky	11	1666	2724	810	4390	5.4	32	399.1
5. UT Martin	12	1852	2759	830	4611	5.6	43	384.2
6. Murray State	11	856	3337	822	4193	5.1	33	381.2
7. Austin Peay	11	2014	2028	763	4042	5.3	32	367.5
8. Tennessee Tech	11	1333	2608	735	3941	5.4	33	358.3
9. Southeast Missouri	11	1486	2350	777	3836	4.9	24	348.7

PASS DEFENSE	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	12	172-374-18	46.0	2227	6.0	14	185.6
2. UT Martin	12	178-351-17	50.7	2329	6.6	17	194.1
3. Eastern Kentucky	11	186-332-10	56.0	2262	6.8	12	205.6
4. Eastern Illinois	11	177-309-7	57.3	2364	7.7	16	214.9
5. Tennessee State	11	210-353-13	59.5	2431	6.9	21	221.0
6. Austin Peay	11	231-330-5	70.0	2737	8.3	29	248.8
7. Southeast Missouri	11	212-358-5	59.2	2769	7.7	22	251.7
8. Tennessee Tech	11	207-341-8	60.7	2782	8.2	17	252.9
9. Murray State	11	244-412-11	59.2	3139	7.6	25	285.4

TOTAL DEFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	12	1072	2227	789	3299	4.2	26	274.9
2. UT Martin	12	1540	2329	775	3869	5.0	33	322.4
3. Eastern Illinois	11	1745	2364	749	4109	5.5	36	373.5
4. Southeast Missouri	11	1523	2769	772	4292	5.6	30	390.2
5. Tennessee State	11	1956	2431	743	4387	5.9	39	398.8
6. Eastern Kentucky	11	2204	2262	854	4466	5.2	35	406.0
7. Tennessee Tech	11	1710	2782	742	4492	6.1	35	408.4
8. Murray State	11	2242	3139	880	5381	6.1	46	489.2
9. Austin Peay	11	2836	2737	772	5573	7.2	67	506.6

PASS EFFICIENCY	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. Tennessee State	11	183-315-11	58.1	2730	248.2	26	151.1
2. Tennessee Tech	11	219-351-7	62.4	2608	237.1	18	137.7
3. Murray State	11	302-477-14	63.3	3337	303.4	24	132.8
4. UT Martin	12	199-362-17	55.0	2759	229.9	25	132.4
5. Eastern Illinois	11	201-337-13	59.6	2424	220.4	17	129.0
6. Austin Peay	11	166-291-9	57.0	2028	184.4	16	127.5
7. Jacksonville State	12	161-318-8	50.6	2202	183.5	13	117.3
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	215-391-20	55.0	2724	247.6	14	115.1
9. Southeast Missouri	11	180-364-8	49.5	2350	213.6	11	109.3

RUSHING OFFENSE	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	12	558	3007	5.4	87	32	250.6
2. Eastern Illinois	11	474	2029	4.3	68	21	184.5
3. Austin Peay	11	472	2014	4.3	70	16	183.1
4. Tennessee State	11	378	1836	4.9	84	16	166.9
5. UT Martin	12	468	1852	4.0	46	18	154.3
6. Eastern Kentucky	11	419	1666	4.0	59	18	151.5
7. Southeast Missouri	11	413	1486	3.6	61	13	135.1
8. Tennessee Tech	11	384	1333	3.5	61	15	121.2
9. Murray State	11	345	856	2.5	77	9	77.8

PASS DEFENSE EFFIC.	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. Jacksonville State	12	172-374-18	46.0	2227	185.6	14	98.7
2. UT Martin	12	178-351-17	50.7	2329	194.1	17	112.7
3. Eastern Kentucky	11	186-332-10	56.0	2262	205.6	12	119.2
4. Tennessee State	11	210-353-13	59.5	2431	221.0	21	129.6
5. Eastern Illinois	11	177-309-7	57.3	2364	214.9	16	134.1
6. Murray State	11	244-412-11	59.2	3139	285.4	25	137.9
7. Tennessee Tech	11	207-341-8	60.7	2782	252.9	17	141.0
8. Southeast Missouri	11	212-358-5	59.2	2769	251.7	22	141.7
9. Austin Peay	11	231-330-5	70.0	2737	248.8	29	165.6

**2016 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Jan 17, 2017**

KICKOFF RETURNS							FIELD GOALS				
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		Made	Att	Pct.	
1. Eastern Kentucky	11	45	1063	1	90	23.6	1. Tennessee Tech	11	13	16	.812
2. Southeast Missouri	11	41	941	1	93	23.0	2. Murray State	11	20	27	.741
3. Tennessee State	11	45	1019	0	66	22.6	3. Southeast Missouri	11	18	26	.692
4. Tennessee Tech	11	36	797	1	100	22.1	4. Tennessee State	11	17	25	.680
5. Austin Peay	11	61	1244	2	98	20.4	5. Jacksonville State	12	12	20	.600
6. Eastern Illinois	11	36	710	0	66	19.7	6. Eastern Kentucky	11	10	17	.588
7. Jacksonville State	12	38	703	0	44	18.5	7. UT Martin	12	11	19	.579
8. UT Martin	12	45	813	1	43	18.1	8. Austin Peay	11	5	9	.556
9. Murray State	11	43	728	0	50	16.9	9. Eastern Illinois	11	7	13	.538

PUNT RETURN AVG							PAT KICKING				
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		Made	Att	Pct.	
1. UT Martin	12	19	234	1	79	12.3	1. Eastern Illinois	11	39	39	1.000
2. Jacksonville State	12	26	257	1	75	9.9	Eastern Kentucky	11	29	29	1.000
3. Tennessee Tech	11	8	68	0	51	8.5	Southeast Missouri	11	23	23	1.000
4. Tennessee State	11	20	156	0	20	7.8	4. Murray State	11	27	28	.964
5. Murray State	11	15	103	0	46	6.9	5. Tennessee Tech	11	33	35	.943
6. Southeast Missouri	11	22	143	0	34	6.5	6. Austin Peay	11	30	32	.938
7. Eastern Illinois	11	16	87	0	26	5.4	7. UT Martin	12	44	47	.936
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	17	90	0	22	5.3	8. Tennessee State	11	38	42	.905
9. Austin Peay	11	11	48	0	19	4.4	9. Jacksonville State	12	41	49	.837

INTERCEPTIONS							SACKS BY				
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		No.	Yards	Avg/G	
1. Jacksonville State	12	18	394	3	88	21.9	1. Jacksonville State	12	38	225	3.17
2. UT Martin	12	17	328	5	86	19.3	2. Eastern Kentucky	11	27	167	2.45
3. Tennessee State	11	13	238	2	93	18.3	UT Martin	12	27	162	2.25
4. Murray State	11	11	95	0	36	8.6	4. Murray State	11	21	122	1.91
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	10	131	3	54	13.1	Tennessee State	11	21	124	1.91
6. Tennessee Tech	11	8	156	2	37	19.5	6. Eastern Illinois	11	19	118	1.73
7. Eastern Illinois	11	7	59	0	31	8.4	7. Tennessee Tech	11	18	100	1.64
8. Southeast Missouri	11	5	63	0	27	12.6	8. Southeast Missouri	11	17	101	1.55
9. Austin Peay	11	5	48	1	25	9.6	9. Austin Peay	11	10	75	0.91

PUNTING								SACKS AGAINST					
	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P		No.	Yards	Avg/G	
1. Eastern Kentucky	11	59	2485	42.1	35	0.6	1	41.2	1. UT Martin	12	15	97	1.25
2. Murray State	11	65	2710	41.7	88	1.4	4	39.1	2. Tennessee State	11	16	73	1.45
3. Southeast Missouri	11	66	2834	42.9	125	1.9	8	38.6	3. Eastern Illinois	11	17	96	1.55
4. Eastern Illinois	11	56	2144	38.3	57	1.0	2	36.6	4. Eastern Kentucky	11	18	108	1.64
5. Tennessee Tech	11	46	1895	41.2	228	5.0	1	35.8	5. Jacksonville State	12	19	110	1.58
6. UT Martin	12	41	1511	36.9	45	1.1	2	34.8	6. Southeast Missouri	11	23	150	2.09
7. Jacksonville State	12	73	2662	36.5	199	2.7	2	33.2	7. Austin Peay	11	28	180	2.55
8. Austin Peay	11	58	2169	37.4	228	3.9	1	33.1	8. Murray State	11	37	217	3.36
9. Tennessee State	11	39	1416	36.3	176	4.5	5	29.2	9. Tennessee Tech	11	38	259	3.45

KICKOFF COVERAGE								FIRST DOWNS						
	G	No.	Yards	Avg.	Ret.	TB	NetAvg		G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. UT Martin	12	72	4231	58.8	769	19	41.5	1. Jacksonville State	12	143	91	17	251	20.9
2. Southeast Missouri	11	52	3251	62.5	562	23	40.7	2. UT Martin	12	98	114	31	243	20.2
3. Eastern Illinois	11	56	3012	53.8	727	3	39.5	3. Eastern Illinois	11	113	105	14	232	21.1
4. Tennessee State	11	67	3798	56.7	685	19	39.4	4. Tennessee State	11	84	117	29	230	20.9
5. Jacksonville State	12	74	4410	59.6	1112	16	39.2	5. Eastern Kentucky	11	82	128	19	229	20.8
6. Eastern Kentucky	11	54	3049	56.5	810	12	35.9	6. Tennessee Tech	11	88	112	22	222	20.2
7. Murray State	11	62	3639	58.7	1059	15	35.6	7. Southeast Missouri	11	82	105	25	212	19.3
8. Tennessee Tech	11	57	3158	55.4	837	12	35.5	8. Austin Peay	11	105	87	18	210	19.1
9. Austin Peay	11	48	2530	52.7	884	6	31.2	9. Murray State	11	47	129	30	206	18.7

**2016 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Jan 17, 2017**

OPPONENT 1ST DOWNS	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	12	61	83	36	180	15.0
2. UT Martin	12	88	99	21	208	17.3
3. Eastern Illinois	11	85	96	28	209	19.0
4. Southeast Missouri	11	72	127	22	221	20.1
5. Tennessee Tech	11	88	122	16	226	20.5
6. Tennessee State	11	107	103	22	232	21.1
Eastern Kentucky	11	111	92	29	232	21.1
8. Austin Peay	11	133	122	16	271	24.6
9. Murray State	11	118	139	26	283	25.7

PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Tennessee Tech	11	58	498	45.3
2. UT Martin	12	85	708	59.0
3. Austin Peay	11	87	659	59.9
4. Southeast Missouri	11	80	676	61.5
5. Murray State	11	73	694	63.1
6. Eastern Kentucky	11	83	728	66.2
7. Eastern Illinois	11	88	758	68.9
8. Tennessee State	11	101	869	79.0
9. Jacksonville State	12	116	1085	90.4

3RD-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Eastern Illinois	11	78	174	44.8
2. UT Martin	12	74	169	43.8
3. Eastern Kentucky	11	71	178	39.9
4. Tennessee State	11	50	127	39.4
5. Tennessee Tech	11	57	148	38.5
6. Austin Peay	11	58	158	36.7
7. Southeast Missouri	11	64	180	35.6
8. Jacksonville State	12	61	178	34.3
9. Murray State	11	53	184	28.8

OPPONENT PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Murray State	11	98	847	77.0
2. Tennessee State	11	94	821	74.6
3. UT Martin	12	94	889	74.1
4. Austin Peay	11	79	697	63.4
5. Eastern Illinois	11	86	677	61.5
6. Southeast Missouri	11	71	655	59.5
7. Tennessee Tech	11	69	620	56.4
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	73	600	54.5
9. Jacksonville State	12	60	488	40.7

OPP 3RD-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Jacksonville State	12	42	182	23.1
2. UT Martin	12	55	163	33.7
3. Tennessee Tech	11	53	144	36.8
4. Southeast Missouri	11	66	171	38.6
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	69	176	39.2
6. Tennessee State	11	57	144	39.6
7. Eastern Illinois	11	65	163	39.9
8. Murray State	11	72	174	41.4
9. Austin Peay	11	62	135	45.9

TIME OF POSSESSION	G	Total possession	Avg/G
1. Tennessee Tech	11	367:11	33:22
2. UT Martin	12	390:39	32:33
3. Southeast Missouri	11	346:41	31:31
4. Jacksonville State	12	377:15	31:26
5. Austin Peay	11	343:03	31:11
6. Tennessee State	11	340:46	30:58
7. Eastern Illinois	11	314:24	28:34
8. Murray State	11	295:48	26:53
9. Eastern Kentucky	11	281:02	25:32

4TH-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Eastern Illinois	11	9	12	75.0
2. Southeast Missouri	11	12	18	66.7
3. UT Martin	12	13	24	54.2
4. Eastern Kentucky	11	11	22	50.0
Tennessee State	11	5	10	50.0
Tennessee Tech	11	12	24	50.0
7. Jacksonville State	12	8	17	47.1
8. Austin Peay	11	12	26	46.2
9. Murray State	11	10	22	45.5

TURNOVER MARGIN	G	Gained			Lost			Margin	Per/G
		Fum	Int	Tot	Fum	Int	Tot		
1. Jacksonville State	12	7	18	25	7	8	15	+10	0.83
2. Tennessee State	11	9	13	22	5	11	16	+6	0.55
3. Murray State	11	12	11	23	5	14	19	+4	0.36
4. UT Martin	12	11	17	28	13	17	30	-2	-0.17
5. Southeast Missouri	11	8	5	13	7	8	15	-2	-0.18
6. Tennessee Tech	11	4	8	12	10	7	17	-5	-0.45
7. Eastern Illinois	11	11	7	18	13	13	26	-8	-0.73
Eastern Kentucky	11	13	10	23	11	20	31	-8	-0.73
9. Austin Peay	11	8	5	13	15	9	24	-11	-1.00

OPP 4TH-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. UT Martin	12	7	19	36.8
2. Eastern Illinois	11	6	15	40.0
3. Southeast Missouri	11	6	14	42.9
4. Eastern Kentucky	11	9	20	45.0
5. Tennessee Tech	11	13	26	50.0
6. Jacksonville State	12	11	19	57.9
7. Murray State	11	11	18	61.1
8. Tennessee State	11	13	17	76.5
9. Austin Peay	11	14	17	82.4

RED ZONE OFFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. Tennessee State	11	35-41	85.4	28	12-16	7-9	4
2. Jacksonville State	12	39-47	83.0	28	24-4	11-15	1
3. Tennessee Tech	11	29-35	82.9	22	13-9	7-9	3
4. Austin Peay	11	24-31	77.4	20	10-10	4-6	4
5. UT Martin	12	37-48	77.1	30	16-14	7-11	4
6. Eastern Illinois	11	33-43	76.7	28	18-10	5-8	5
7. Southeast Missouri	11	26-34	76.5	12	8-4	14-19	1
8. Murray State	11	33-44	75.0	20	6-14	13-17	4
9. Eastern Kentucky	11	30-43	69.8	21	15-6	9-14	6

**2016 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Jan 17, 2017**

RED ZONE DEFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. Jacksonville State	12	20-30	66.7	14	9-5	6-9	2
2. Southeast Missouri	11	27-37	73.0	21	6-15	6-8	4
3. Murray State	11	35-46	76.1	27	15-12	8-14	1
4. Tennessee Tech	11	32-42	76.2	21	15-6	11-16	1
5. UT Martin	12	29-35	82.9	20	9-11	9-11	2
6. Tennessee State	11	35-42	83.3	27	16-11	8-9	5
7. Eastern Kentucky	11	38-45	84.4	27	20-7	11-15	1
8. Austin Peay	11	49-58	84.5	41	25-16	8-10	5
9. Eastern Illinois	11	34-39	87.2	25	17-8	9-12	1

ON-SIDE KICKS BY	G	Recovered	Attempts	Success%
1. Tennessee Tech	11	2	3	66.7
2. Austin Peay	11	1	2	50.0
3. Murray State	11	1	3	33.3
Tennessee State	11	1	3	33.3
5. Southeast Missouri	11	0	2	0.0
UT Martin	12	0	1	0.0
Eastern Kentucky	11	0	1	0.0
Eastern Illinois	11	0	0	0.0
Jacksonville State	12	0	0	0.0

ON-SIDE KICKS AGAINST	G	Recovered	Attempts	Success%
1. Tennessee Tech	11	0	1	0.0
Jacksonville State	12	0	1	0.0
Murray State	11	0	1	0.0
Eastern Kentucky	11	0	1	0.0
Southeast Missouri	11	0	1	0.0
UT Martin	12	0	1	0.0
7. Eastern Illinois	11	2	3	66.7
8. Tennessee State	11	1	1	100.0
Austin Peay	11	1	1	100.0

ATTENDANCE	Home	Away	Neutral	Total
Austin Peay	5-6117	6-16311	0-0	11-11677
Eastern Illinois	5-5813	6-10748	0-0	11-8505
Eastern Kentucky	5-8080	6-13339	0-0	11-10949
Jacksonville State	7-17576	5-27080	0-0	12-21536
Murray State	5-6168	6-13456	0-0	11-10143
Southeast Missouri	5-5363	6-14245	0-0	11-10208
Tennessee State	4-13778	6-10425	1-46263	11-14902
Tennessee Tech	5-6753	6-23966	0-0	11-16142
UT Martin	5-3688	7-14803	0-0	12-10172
Totals	46-8436	54-15814	1-46263	101-12755