2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012
(Conference games)

SCORING OFFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	50	10	48	1	0	0	380	47.5
2. UT Martin	8	43	6	41	0	1	0	319	39.9
3. Eastern Illinois	7	37	7	28	3	0	0	277	39.6
4. Eastern Kentucky	8	37	7	34	1	0	0	279	34.9
5. Jacksonville State	8	36	7	32	1	0	0	271	33.9
6. Tennessee Tech	8	34	4	34	0	0	0	250	31.2
7. Tennessee State	7	24	12	19	1	0	0	201	28.7
8. Southeast Missouri	8	26	10	24	0	0	1	212	26.5
9. Austin Peay	8	20	3	18	1	0	1	151	18.9

TOTAL DEFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	900	1887	546	2787	5.1	21	348.4
2. Eastern Illinois	7	1131	1508	599	2639	4.4	23	377.0
3. Tennessee State	7	1216	1541	477	2757	5.8	25	393.9
4. Southeast Missouri	8	1520	1864	562	3384	6.0	34	423.0
5. Jacksonville State	8	1457	2021	605	3478	5.7	33	434.8
6. Austin Peay	8	1544	2340	567	3884	6.9	42	485.5
7. Tennessee Tech	8	1486	2485	634	3971	6.3	36	496.4
8. UT Martin	8	1569	2454	671	4023	6.0	35	502.9
9. Murray State	8	1781	2392	683	4173	6.1	42	521.6

SCORING DEFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	21	4	17	1	0	0	157	19.6
2. Eastern Illinois	7	24	8	22	1	1	0	194	27.7
3. Tennessee State	7	26	5	25	1	0	0	198	28.3
4. Jacksonville State	8	36	3	34	0	0	1	261	32.6
5. UT Martin	8	35	8	29	1	0	0	265	33.1
6. Southeast Missouri	8	36	9	32	0	0	0	275	34.4
7. Murray State	8	44	4	39	2	0	1	321	40.1
8. Tennessee Tech	8	40	15	38	1	0	0	325	40.6
9. Austin Peay	8	45	10	42	1	0	0	344	43.0

TOTAL OFFENSE PLAYS	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	1301	2935	644	4236	6.6	46	529.5
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	1659	1953	613	3612	5.9	35	451.5
3. Eastern Illinois	7	1107	2506	609	3613	5.9	36	516.1
4. Tennessee Tech	8	1295	1983	600	3278	5.5	32	409.8
5. Jacksonville State	8	1742	1830	596	3572	6.0	36	446.5
6. Austin Peay	8	1049	1978	595	3027	5.1	20	378.4
7. UT Martin	8	995	2617	581	3612	6.2	39	451.5
8. Southeast Missouri	8	2297	754	570	3051	5.4	23	381.4
9. Tennessee State	7	1159	1936	536	3095	5.8	24	442.1

TOUCHDOWNS SCORED	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	50	10	48	1	0	0	380	47.5
2. UT Martin	8	43	6	41	0	1	0	319	39.9
3. Eastern Illinois	7	37	7	28	3	0	0	277	39.6
4. Eastern Kentucky	8	37	7	34	1	0	0	279	34.9
5. Jacksonville State	8	36	7	32	1	0	0	271	33.9
6. Tennessee Tech	8	34	4	34	0	0	0	250	31.2
7. Southeast Missouri	8	26	10	24	0	0	1	212	26.5
8. Tennessee State	7	24	12	19	1	0	0	201	28.7
9. Austin Peay	8	20	3	18	1	0	1	151	18.9

TOTAL OFFENSE YARDS	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	1301	2935	644	4236	6.6	46	529.5
2. Eastern Illinois	7	1107	2506	609	3613	5.9	36	516.1
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	1659	1953	613	3612	5.9	35	451.5
UT Martin	8	995	2617	581	3612	6.2	39	451.5
5. Jacksonville State	8	1742	1830	596	3572	6.0	36	446.5
6. Tennessee Tech	8	1295	1983	600	3278	5.5	32	409.8
7. Tennessee State	7	1159	1936	536	3095	5.8	24	442.1
8. Southeast Missouri	8	2297	754	570	3051	5.4	23	381.4
9. Austin Peay	8	1049	1978	595	3027	5.1	20	378.4

PTS SCORED KICKING	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	50	10	48	1	0	0	78	9.8
2. UT Martin	8	43	6	41	0	1	0	59	7.4
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	37	7	34	1	0	0	55	6.9
4. Tennessee State	7	24	12	19	1	0	0	55	7.9
5. Southeast Missouri	8	26	10	24	0	0	1	54	6.8
6. Jacksonville State	8	36	7	32	1	0	0	53	6.6
7. Eastern Illinois	7	37	7	28	3	0	0	49	7.0
8. Tennessee Tech	8	34	4	34	0	0	0	46	5.8
9. Austin Peay	8	20	3	18	1	0	1	27	3.4

TOTAL OFF. AVG/PLAY	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	1301	2935	644	4236	6.6	46	529.5
2. UT Martin	8	995	2617	581	3612	6.2	39	451.5
3. Jacksonville State	8	1742	1830	596	3572	6.0	36	446.5
4. Eastern Illinois	7	1107	2506	609	3613	5.9	36	516.1
5. Eastern Kentucky	8	1659	1953	613	3612	5.9	35	451.5
6. Tennessee State	7	1159	1936	536	3095	5.8	24	442.1
7. Tennessee Tech	8	1295	1983	600	3278	5.5	32	409.8
8. Southeast Missouri	8	2297	754	570	3051	5.4	23	381.4
9. Austin Peay	8	1049	1978	595	3027	5.1	20	378.4

TOTAL OFFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	1301	2935	644	4236	6.6	46	529.5
2. Eastern Illinois	7	1107	2506	609	3613	5.9	36	516.1
3. UT Martin	8	995	2617	581	3612	6.2	39	451.5
Eastern Kentucky	8	1659	1953	613	3612	5.9	35	451.5
5. Jacksonville State	8	1742	1830	596	3572	6.0	36	446.5
6. Tennessee State	7	1159	1936	536	3095	5.8	24	442.1
7. Tennessee Tech	8	1295	1983	600	3278	5.5	32	409.8
8. Southeast Missouri	8	2297	754	570	3051	5.4	23	381.4
9. Austin Peay	8	1049	1978	595	3027	5.1	20	378.4

TOTAL OFFENSE TDS	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	1301	2935	644	4236	6.6	46	529.5
2. UT Martin	8	995	2617	581	3612	6.2	39	451.5
3. Eastern Illinois	7	1107	2506	609	3613	5.9	36	516.1
Jacksonville State	8	1742	1830	596	3572	6.0	36	446.5
5. Eastern Kentucky	8	1659	1953	613	3612	5.9	35	451.5
6. Tennessee Tech	8	1295	1983	600	3278	5.5	32	409.8
7. Tennessee State	7	1159	1936	536	3095	5.8	24	442.1
8. Southeast Missouri	8	2297	754	570	3051	5.4	23	381.4
9. Austin Peay	8	1049	1978	595	3027	5.1	20	378.4

2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012
(Conference games)

RUSHING OFFENSE	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	8	455	2297	5.0	67	18	287.1
2. Jacksonville State	8	376	1742	4.6	60	19	217.8
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	407	1659	4.1	73	19	207.4
4. Tennessee State	7	282	1159	4.1	43	10	165.6
5. Murray State	8	275	1301	4.7	47	20	162.6
6. Tennessee Tech	8	313	1295	4.1	42	14	161.9
7. Eastern Illinois	7	277	1107	4.0	43	16	158.1
8. Austin Peay	8	262	1049	4.0	44	4	131.1
9. UT Martin	8	261	995	3.8	39	11	124.4

RUSHING TDS	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	275	1301	4.7	47	20	162.6
2. Jacksonville State	8	376	1742	4.6	60	19	217.8
Eastern Kentucky	8	407	1659	4.1	73	19	207.4
4. Southeast Missouri	8	455	2297	5.0	67	18	287.1
5. Eastern Illinois	7	277	1107	4.0	43	16	158.1
6. Tennessee Tech	8	313	1295	4.1	42	14	161.9
7. UT Martin	8	261	995	3.8	39	11	124.4
8. Tennessee State	7	282	1159	4.1	43	10	165.6
9. Austin Peay	8	262	1049	4.0	44	4	131.1

RUSHING DEFENSE	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	264	900	3.4	43	8	112.5
2. Eastern Illinois	7	332	1131	3.4	39	14	161.6
3. Tennessee State	7	264	1216	4.6	52	14	173.7
4. Jacksonville State	8	311	1457	4.7	73	15	182.1
5. Tennessee Tech	8	351	1486	4.2	48	16	185.8
6. Southeast Missouri	8	308	1520	4.9	43	19	190.0
7. Austin Peay	8	335	1544	4.6	67	20	193.0
8. UT Martin	8	358	1569	4.4	42	8	196.1
9. Murray State	8	385	1781	4.6	41	17	222.6

PASS EFFICIENCY	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	327.1	28	161.6
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	244.1	16	160.6
3. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	366.9	26	158.4
4. Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	228.8	17	143.1
5. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	358.0	20	141.4
6. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	276.6	14	139.7
7. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	247.9	18	125.1
8. Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	247.2	16	118.3
9. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	94.2	5	115.5

YARDS PER RUSH	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	8	455	2297	5.0	67	18	287.1
2. Murray State	8	275	1301	4.7	47	20	162.6
3. Jacksonville State	8	376	1742	4.6	60	19	217.8
4. Tennessee Tech	8	313	1295	4.1	42	14	161.9
5. Tennessee State	7	282	1159	4.1	43	10	165.6
6. Eastern Kentucky	8	407	1659	4.1	73	19	207.4
7. Austin Peay	8	262	1049	4.0	44	4	131.1
8. Eastern Illinois	7	277	1107	4.0	43	16	158.1
9. UT Martin	8	261	995	3.8	39	11	124.4

PASS DEFENSE EFFIC.	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. Eastern Illinois	7	158-267-5	59.2	1508	215.4	9	114.0
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	166-282-12	58.9	1887	235.9	13	121.8
3. Tennessee State	7	119-213-8	55.9	1541	220.1	11	126.2
4. Jacksonville State	8	166-294-9	56.5	2021	252.6	18	128.3
5. Southeast Missouri	8	170-254-6	66.9	1864	233.0	15	143.3
6. UT Martin	8	179-313-11	57.2	2454	306.8	27	144.5
7. Murray State	8	189-298-2	63.4	2392	299.0	25	157.2
8. Tennessee Tech	8	194-283-3	68.6	2485	310.6	20	163.5
9. Austin Peay	8	155-232-4	66.8	2340	292.5	22	179.4

RUSH ATTEMPTS	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	8	455	2297	5.0	67	18	287.1
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	407	1659	4.1	73	19	207.4
3. Jacksonville State	8	376	1742	4.6	60	19	217.8
4. Tennessee Tech	8	313	1295	4.1	42	14	161.9
5. Tennessee State	7	282	1159	4.1	43	10	165.6
6. Eastern Illinois	7	277	1107	4.0	43	16	158.1
7. Murray State	8	275	1301	4.7	47	20	162.6
8. Austin Peay	8	262	1049	4.0	44	4	131.1
9. UT Martin	8	261	995	3.8	39	11	124.4

RUSH YARDS	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	8	455	2297	5.0	67	18	287.1
2. Jacksonville State	8	376	1742	4.6	60	19	217.8
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	407	1659	4.1	73	19	207.4
4. Murray State	8	275	1301	4.7	47	20	162.6
5. Tennessee Tech	8	313	1295	4.1	42	14	161.9
6. Tennessee State	7	282	1159	4.1	43	10	165.6
7. Eastern Illinois	7	277	1107	4.0	43	16	158.1
8. Austin Peay	8	262	1049	4.0	44	4	131.1
9. UT Martin	8	261	995	3.8	39	11	124.4

2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012
(Conference games)

PASS OFFENSE	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	8.0	26	366.9
2. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	7.5	20	358.0
3. UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	8.2	28	327.1
4. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	7.6	14	276.6
5. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	6.9	18	247.9
6. Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	5.9	16	247.2
7. Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	9.5	16	244.1
8. Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	8.3	17	228.8
9. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	6.6	5	94.2

PASS COMP. PCT	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	11.0	26	366.9
2. UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	12.2	28	327.1
3. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	12.0	20	358.0
4. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	12.3	14	276.6
5. Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	16.3	16	244.1
6. Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	10.4	16	247.2
7. Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	15.1	17	228.8
8. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	12.0	5	94.2
9. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	13.0	18	247.9

PASS DEFENSE	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	7	158-267-5	59.2	1508	5.6	9	215.4
2. Tennessee State	7	119-213-8	55.9	1541	7.2	11	220.1
3. Southeast Missouri	8	170-254-6	66.9	1864	7.3	15	233.0
4. Eastern Kentucky	8	166-282-12	58.9	1887	6.7	13	235.9
5. Jacksonville State	8	166-294-9	56.5	2021	6.9	18	252.6
6. Austin Peay	8	155-232-4	66.8	2340	10.1	22	292.5
7. Murray State	8	189-298-2	63.4	2392	8.0	25	299.0
8. UT Martin	8	179-313-11	57.2	2454	7.8	27	306.8
9. Tennessee Tech	8	194-283-3	68.6	2485	8.8	20	310.6

PASS YDS/COMP.	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	16.3	16	244.1
2. Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	15.1	17	228.8
3. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	13.0	18	247.9
4. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	12.3	14	276.6
5. UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	12.2	28	327.1
6. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	12.0	20	358.0
7. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	12.0	5	94.2
8. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	11.0	26	366.9
9. Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	10.4	16	247.2

PASS ATTEMPTS	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	8.0	26	366.9
2. Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	5.9	16	247.2
3. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	7.5	20	358.0
4. UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	8.2	28	327.1
5. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	6.9	18	247.9
6. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	7.6	14	276.6
7. Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	8.3	17	228.8
8. Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	9.5	16	244.1
9. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	6.6	5	94.2

PASS YDS/ATT	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	9.5	16	244.1
2. Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	8.3	17	228.8
3. UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	8.2	28	327.1
4. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	8.0	26	366.9
5. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	7.6	14	276.6
6. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	7.5	20	358.0
7. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	6.9	18	247.9
8. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	6.6	5	94.2
9. Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	5.9	16	247.2

PASS COMPLETIONS	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	11.0	26	366.9
2. UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	12.2	28	327.1
3. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	12.0	20	358.0
4. Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	10.4	16	247.2
5. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	12.3	14	276.6
6. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	13.0	18	247.9
7. Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	15.1	17	228.8
8. Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	16.3	16	244.1
9. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	12.0	5	94.2

PASS INTERCEPTIONS	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	9.5	16	244.1
2. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	6.6	5	94.2
UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	8.2	28	327.1
4. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	7.6	14	276.6
5. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	8.0	26	366.9
6. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	7.5	20	358.0
Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	8.3	17	228.8
Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	5.9	16	247.2
9. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	6.9	18	247.9

PASSING YARDS	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	8.0	26	366.9
2. UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	8.2	28	327.1
3. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	7.5	20	358.0
4. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	6.9	18	247.9
5. Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	5.9	16	247.2
6. Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	9.5	16	244.1
7. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	7.6	14	276.6
8. Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	8.3	17	228.8
9. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	6.6	5	94.2

PASSING TDS	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. UT Martin	8	215-320-5	67.2	2617	8.2	28	327.1
2. Murray State	8	266-369-7	72.1	2935	8.0	26	366.9
3. Eastern Illinois	7	209-332-8	63.0	2506	7.5	20	358.0
4. Tennessee Tech	8	153-287-10	53.3	1983	6.9	18	247.9
5. Jacksonville State	8	121-220-8	55.0	1830	8.3	17	228.8
6. Austin Peay	8	191-333-8	57.4	1978	5.9	16	247.2
Eastern Kentucky	8	120-206-3	58.3	1953	9.5	16	244.1
8. Tennessee State	7	158-254-6	62.2	1936	7.6	14	276.6
9. Southeast Missouri	8	63-115-5	54.8	754	6.6	5	94.2

2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012
(Conference games)

FIELD GOALS	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Murray State	8	10	11	.909
2. Eastern Illinois	7	7	8	.875
3. Tennessee State	7	12	16	.750
4. Southeast Missouri	8	10	16	.625
5. UT Martin	8	6	11	.545
6. Jacksonville State	8	7	13	.538
7. Eastern Kentucky	8	7	14	.500
Tennessee Tech	8	4	8	.500
9. Austin Peay	8	3	10	.300

PATS KICKS MADE	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Murray State	8	48	48	1.000
2. UT Martin	8	41	42	.976
3. Tennessee Tech	8	34	34	1.000
Eastern Kentucky	8	34	36	.944
5. Jacksonville State	8	32	33	.970
6. Eastern Illinois	7	28	31	.903
7. Southeast Missouri	8	24	26	.923
8. Tennessee State	7	19	21	.905
9. Austin Peay	8	18	19	.947

FIELD GOALS ATTEMPTED	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Tennessee State	7	12	16	.750
Southeast Missouri	8	10	16	.625
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	7	14	.500
4. Jacksonville State	8	7	13	.538
5. Murray State	8	10	11	.909
UT Martin	8	6	11	.545
7. Austin Peay	8	3	10	.300
8. Eastern Illinois	7	7	8	.875
Tennessee Tech	8	4	8	.500

NET YARDS PER PUNT	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P
1. Tennessee Tech	8	47	2151	45.8	210	4.5	5	38.6
2. UT Martin	8	34	1470	43.2	46	1.4	5	38.2
3. Murray State	8	27	1137	42.1	40	1.5	3	37.9
4. Jacksonville State	8	33	1270	38.5	55	1.7	0	36.8
5. Austin Peay	8	41	1602	39.1	63	1.5	3	35.7
6. Eastern Kentucky	8	34	1287	37.9	79	2.3	2	34.1
7. Tennessee State	7	29	1074	37.0	140	4.8	1	31.3
8. Eastern Illinois	7	28	1033	36.9	154	5.5	2	29.6
9. Southeast Missouri	8	27	1077	39.9	283	10.5	0	29.4

FIELD GOALS MADE	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Tennessee State	7	12	16	.750
2. Murray State	8	10	11	.909
Southeast Missouri	8	10	16	.625
4. Eastern Illinois	7	7	8	.875
Jacksonville State	8	7	13	.538
Eastern Kentucky	8	7	14	.500
7. UT Martin	8	6	11	.545
8. Tennessee Tech	8	4	8	.500
9. Austin Peay	8	3	10	.300

AVG YARDS PER PUNT	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P
1. Tennessee Tech	8	47	2151	45.8	210	4.5	5	38.6
2. UT Martin	8	34	1470	43.2	46	1.4	5	38.2
3. Murray State	8	27	1137	42.1	40	1.5	3	37.9
4. Southeast Missouri	8	27	1077	39.9	283	10.5	0	29.4
5. Austin Peay	8	41	1602	39.1	63	1.5	3	35.7
6. Jacksonville State	8	33	1270	38.5	55	1.7	0	36.8
7. Eastern Kentucky	8	34	1287	37.9	79	2.3	2	34.1
8. Tennessee State	7	29	1074	37.0	140	4.8	1	31.3
9. Eastern Illinois	7	28	1033	36.9	154	5.5	2	29.6

PAT KICKING	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Murray State	8	48	48	1.000
Tennessee Tech	8	34	34	1.000
3. UT Martin	8	41	42	.976
4. Jacksonville State	8	32	33	.970
5. Austin Peay	8	18	19	.947
6. Eastern Kentucky	8	34	36	.944
7. Southeast Missouri	8	24	26	.923
8. Tennessee State	7	19	21	.905
9. Eastern Illinois	7	28	31	.903

NUMBER OF PUNTS	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P
1. Tennessee Tech	8	47	2151	45.8	210	4.5	5	38.6
2. Austin Peay	8	41	1602	39.1	63	1.5	3	35.7
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	34	1287	37.9	79	2.3	2	34.1
UT Martin	8	34	1470	43.2	46	1.4	5	38.2
5. Jacksonville State	8	33	1270	38.5	55	1.7	0	36.8
6. Tennessee State	7	29	1074	37.0	140	4.8	1	31.3
7. Eastern Illinois	7	28	1033	36.9	154	5.5	2	29.6
8. Southeast Missouri	8	27	1077	39.9	283	10.5	0	29.4
Murray State	8	27	1137	42.1	40	1.5	3	37.9

PAT KICK ATTEMPTS	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Murray State	8	48	48	1.000
2. UT Martin	8	41	42	.976
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	34	36	.944
4. Tennessee Tech	8	34	34	1.000
5. Jacksonville State	8	32	33	.970
6. Eastern Illinois	7	28	31	.903
7. Southeast Missouri	8	24	26	.923
8. Tennessee State	7	19	21	.905
9. Austin Peay	8	18	19	.947

YARDS PUNTED	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P
1. Tennessee Tech	8	47	2151	45.8	210	4.5	5	38.6
2. Austin Peay	8	41	1602	39.1	63	1.5	3	35.7
3. UT Martin	8	34	1470	43.2	46	1.4	5	38.2
4. Eastern Kentucky	8	34	1287	37.9	79	2.3	2	34.1
5. Jacksonville State	8	33	1270	38.5	55	1.7	0	36.8
6. Murray State	8	27	1137	42.1	40	1.5	3	37.9
7. Southeast Missouri	8	27	1077	39.9	283	10.5	0	29.4
8. Tennessee State	7	29	1074	37.0	140	4.8	1	31.3
9. Eastern Illinois	7	28	1033	36.9	154	5.5	2	29.6

2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012
(Conference games)

PUNT RETURN AVG	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. UT Martin	8	14	247	1	75	17.6
2. Murray State	8	19	313	2	100	16.5
3. Tennessee Tech	8	9	102	0	30	11.3
4. Southeast Missouri	8	5	45	0	33	9.0
5. Jacksonville State	8	15	133	0	23	8.9
6. Tennessee State	7	8	57	0	22	7.1
7. Austin Peay	8	12	71	0	24	5.9
8. Eastern Illinois	7	12	62	0	14	5.2
9. Eastern Kentucky	8	8	40	0	20	5.0

PUNT RETURNS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Murray State	8	19	313	2	100	16.5
2. Jacksonville State	8	15	133	0	23	8.9
3. UT Martin	8	14	247	1	75	17.6
4. Austin Peay	8	12	71	0	24	5.9
Eastern Illinois	7	12	62	0	14	5.2
6. Tennessee Tech	8	9	102	0	30	11.3
7. Tennessee State	7	8	57	0	22	7.1
Eastern Kentucky	8	8	40	0	20	5.0
9. Southeast Missouri	8	5	45	0	33	9.0

PUNT RETURN YARDS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Murray State	8	19	313	2	100	16.5
2. UT Martin	8	14	247	1	75	17.6
3. Jacksonville State	8	15	133	0	23	8.9
4. Tennessee Tech	8	9	102	0	30	11.3
5. Austin Peay	8	12	71	0	24	5.9
6. Eastern Illinois	7	12	62	0	14	5.2
7. Tennessee State	7	8	57	0	22	7.1
8. Southeast Missouri	8	5	45	0	33	9.0
9. Eastern Kentucky	8	8	40	0	20	5.0

PUNT RETURN TDS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Murray State	8	19	313	2	100	16.5
2. UT Martin	8	14	247	1	75	17.6
3. Jacksonville State	8	15	133	0	23	8.9
Tennessee Tech	8	9	102	0	30	11.3
Austin Peay	8	12	71	0	24	5.9
Eastern Illinois	7	12	62	0	14	5.2
Tennessee State	7	8	57	0	22	7.1
Southeast Missouri	8	5	45	0	33	9.0
Eastern Kentucky	8	8	40	0	20	5.0

KICKOFF RETURNS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	21	500	0	82	23.8
2. Murray State	8	37	860	1	85	23.2
3. Jacksonville State	8	34	758	0	73	22.3
4. UT Martin	8	37	794	1	93	21.5
5. Tennessee Tech	8	42	819	1	94	19.5
6. Southeast Missouri	8	37	711	0	32	19.2
7. Tennessee State	7	29	555	0	66	19.1
8. Austin Peay	8	41	757	0	37	18.5
9. Eastern Illinois	7	23	386	0	38	16.8

KICK RETURNS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Tennessee Tech	8	42	819	1	94	19.5
2. Austin Peay	8	41	757	0	37	18.5
3. Southeast Missouri	8	37	711	0	32	19.2
Murray State	8	37	860	1	85	23.2
UT Martin	8	37	794	1	93	21.5
6. Jacksonville State	8	34	758	0	73	22.3
7. Tennessee State	7	29	555	0	66	19.1
8. Eastern Illinois	7	23	386	0	38	16.8
9. Eastern Kentucky	8	21	500	0	82	23.8

KICK RETURN YARDS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Murray State	8	37	860	1	85	23.2
2. Tennessee Tech	8	42	819	1	94	19.5
3. UT Martin	8	37	794	1	93	21.5
4. Jacksonville State	8	34	758	0	73	22.3
5. Austin Peay	8	41	757	0	37	18.5
6. Southeast Missouri	8	37	711	0	32	19.2
7. Tennessee State	7	29	555	0	66	19.1
8. Eastern Kentucky	8	21	500	0	82	23.8
9. Eastern Illinois	7	23	386	0	38	16.8

KICK RETURN TDS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Murray State	8	37	860	1	85	23.2
Tennessee Tech	8	42	819	1	94	19.5
UT Martin	8	37	794	1	93	21.5
4. Jacksonville State	8	34	758	0	73	22.3
Austin Peay	8	41	757	0	37	18.5
Southeast Missouri	8	37	711	0	32	19.2
Tennessee State	7	29	555	0	66	19.1
Eastern Kentucky	8	21	500	0	82	23.8
Eastern Illinois	7	23	386	0	38	16.8

2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012
(Conference games)

INTERCEPTION RETURN AVG	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Southeast Missouri	8	6	228	2	100	38.0
2. Eastern Illinois	7	5	129	1	39	25.8
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	12	256	2	84	21.3
4. Tennessee Tech	8	3	57	1	49	19.0
5. UT Martin	8	11	144	1	42	13.1
6. Austin Peay	8	4	41	0	38	10.2
7. Tennessee State	7	8	80	0	62	10.0
8. Murray State	8	2	18	1	18	9.0
9. Jacksonville State	8	9	66	0	19	7.3

FUMBLE RETURNS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Murray State	8	2	60	0	50	30.0
2. UT Martin	8	1	11	1	11	11.0
Tennessee Tech	8	1	8	0	8	8.0
Austin Peay	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
Eastern Kentucky	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
Southeast Missouri	8	1	98	1	98	98.0
7. Tennessee State	7	0	0	0	0	0.0
Jacksonville State	8	0	0	0	0	0.0
Eastern Illinois	7	0	0	0	0	0.0

INTERCEPTION RETURNS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	12	256	2	84	21.3
2. UT Martin	8	11	144	1	42	13.1
3. Jacksonville State	8	9	66	0	19	7.3
4. Tennessee State	7	8	80	0	62	10.0
5. Southeast Missouri	8	6	228	2	100	38.0
6. Eastern Illinois	7	5	129	1	39	25.8
7. Austin Peay	8	4	41	0	38	10.2
8. Tennessee Tech	8	3	57	1	49	19.0
9. Murray State	8	2	18	1	18	9.0

FUMBLE RETURN YARDS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Southeast Missouri	8	1	98	1	98	98.0
2. Murray State	8	2	60	0	50	30.0
3. UT Martin	8	1	11	1	11	11.0
4. Tennessee Tech	8	1	8	0	8	8.0
5. Austin Peay	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
Eastern Kentucky	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
7. Jacksonville State	8	0	0	0	0	0.0
Tennessee State	7	0	0	0	0	0.0
Eastern Illinois	7	0	0	0	0	0.0

INTERCEPTION RET YARDS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	12	256	2	84	21.3
2. Southeast Missouri	8	6	228	2	100	38.0
3. UT Martin	8	11	144	1	42	13.1
4. Eastern Illinois	7	5	129	1	39	25.8
5. Tennessee State	7	8	80	0	62	10.0
6. Jacksonville State	8	9	66	0	19	7.3
7. Tennessee Tech	8	3	57	1	49	19.0
8. Austin Peay	8	4	41	0	38	10.2
9. Murray State	8	2	18	1	18	9.0

FUMBLE RETURN TDS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Southeast Missouri	8	1	98	1	98	98.0
UT Martin	8	1	11	1	11	11.0
3. Murray State	8	2	60	0	50	30.0
Tennessee Tech	8	1	8	0	8	8.0
Eastern Kentucky	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
Austin Peay	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
Tennessee State	7	0	0	0	0	0.0
Jacksonville State	8	0	0	0	0	0.0
Eastern Illinois	7	0	0	0	0	0.0

INTERCEPTION RETURN TDS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	12	256	2	84	21.3
Southeast Missouri	8	6	228	2	100	38.0
3. UT Martin	8	11	144	1	42	13.1
Eastern Illinois	7	5	129	1	39	25.8
Tennessee Tech	8	3	57	1	49	19.0
Murray State	8	2	18	1	18	9.0
7. Tennessee State	7	8	80	0	62	10.0
Jacksonville State	8	9	66	0	19	7.3
Austin Peay	8	4	41	0	38	10.2

FUMBLES RECOVERED	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. UT Martin	8	1	11	1	11	11.0
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
3. Tennessee Tech	8	1	8	0	8	8.0
Murray State	8	2	60	0	50	30.0
5. Eastern Illinois	7	0	0	0	0	0.0
Southeast Missouri	8	1	98	1	98	98.0
7. Austin Peay	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
8. Tennessee State	7	0	0	0	0	0.0
9. Jacksonville State	8	0	0	0	0	0.0

FUMBLE RETURN AVG	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Southeast Missouri	8	1	98	1	98	98.0
2. Murray State	8	2	60	0	50	30.0
3. UT Martin	8	1	11	1	11	11.0
4. Tennessee Tech	8	1	8	0	8	8.0
5. Austin Peay	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
Eastern Kentucky	8	1	2	0	2	2.0
7. Jacksonville State	8	0	0	0	0	0.0
Tennessee State	7	0	0	0	0	0.0
Eastern Illinois	7	0	0	0	0	0.0

		Gained			Lost				
TURNOVER MARGIN		Fum	Int	Tot	Fum	Int	Tot	Margin	Per/G
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	8	12	20	1	3	4	+16	2.00
2. UT Martin	8	9	11	20	2	5	7	+13	1.62
3. Southeast Missouri	8	6	6	12	8	5	13	-1	-0.12
4. Tennessee State	7	2	8	10	5	6	11	-1	-0.14
5. Murray State	8	7	2	9	5	7	12	-3	-0.38
6. Eastern Illinois	7	6	5	11	8	8	16	-5	-0.71
7. Tennessee Tech	8	8	3	11	7	10	17	-6	-0.75
Jacksonville State	8	1	9	10	8	8	16	-6	-0.75
9. Austin Peay	8	5	4	9	8	8	16	-7	-0.88

2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012
(Conference games)

FIRST DOWNS	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	73	143	13	229	28.6
2. Eastern Illinois	7	61	120	17	198	28.3
3. Jacksonville State	8	90	89	13	192	24.0
4. UT Martin	8	52	121	15	188	23.5
5. Eastern Kentucky	8	96	85	3	184	23.0
6. Southeast Missouri	8	130	38	15	183	22.9
7. Tennessee State	7	66	88	13	167	23.9
8. Tennessee Tech	8	73	76	14	163	20.4
9. Austin Peay	8	59	91	6	156	19.5

1ST DOWNS RUSHING	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	8	130	38	15	183	22.9
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	96	85	3	184	23.0
3. Jacksonville State	8	90	89	13	192	24.0
4. Murray State	8	73	143	13	229	28.6
Tennessee Tech	8	73	76	14	163	20.4
6. Tennessee State	7	66	88	13	167	23.9
7. Eastern Illinois	7	61	120	17	198	28.3
8. Austin Peay	8	59	91	6	156	19.5
9. UT Martin	8	52	121	15	188	23.5

1ST DOWNS PASSING	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Murray State	8	73	143	13	229	28.6
2. UT Martin	8	52	121	15	188	23.5
3. Eastern Illinois	7	61	120	17	198	28.3
4. Austin Peay	8	59	91	6	156	19.5
5. Jacksonville State	8	90	89	13	192	24.0
6. Tennessee State	7	66	88	13	167	23.9
7. Eastern Kentucky	8	96	85	3	184	23.0
8. Tennessee Tech	8	73	76	14	163	20.4
9. Southeast Missouri	8	130	38	15	183	22.9

1ST DOWNS BY PENALTY	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	7	61	120	17	198	28.3
2. UT Martin	8	52	121	15	188	23.5
Southeast Missouri	8	130	38	15	183	22.9
4. Tennessee Tech	8	73	76	14	163	20.4
5. Murray State	8	73	143	13	229	28.6
Jacksonville State	8	90	89	13	192	24.0
Tennessee State	7	66	88	13	167	23.9
8. Austin Peay	8	59	91	6	156	19.5
9. Eastern Kentucky	8	96	85	3	184	23.0

OPPONENT 1ST DOWNS	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	7	62	70	10	142	20.3
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	60	80	12	152	19.0
3. Eastern Illinois	7	66	78	12	156	22.3
4. Southeast Missouri	8	78	92	5	175	21.9
5. Austin Peay	8	82	93	15	190	23.8
6. Jacksonville State	8	73	104	14	191	23.9
7. Tennessee Tech	8	79	110	15	204	25.5
8. UT Martin	8	95	113	14	222	27.8
9. Murray State	8	105	111	12	228	28.5

3RD-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	72	136	52.9
2. Jacksonville State	8	63	120	52.5
3. Eastern Illinois	7	59	120	49.2
4. Tennessee State	7	55	114	48.2
5. Murray State	8	50	109	45.9
6. Southeast Missouri	8	51	112	45.5
7. UT Martin	8	48	108	44.4
8. Austin Peay	8	52	132	39.4
9. Tennessee Tech	8	47	126	37.3

OPP 3RD-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Eastern Illinois	7	51	130	39.2
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	44	112	39.3
3. Tennessee State	7	38	96	39.6
4. UT Martin	8	61	137	44.5
5. Tennessee Tech	8	60	129	46.5
6. Jacksonville State	8	57	119	47.9
7. Murray State	8	70	139	50.4
8. Austin Peay	8	53	104	51.0
9. Southeast Missouri	8	63	111	56.8

4TH-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Tennessee State	7	6	9	66.7
2. Tennessee Tech	8	9	14	64.3
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	7	11	63.6
4. Murray State	8	10	18	55.6
5. Austin Peay	8	13	24	54.2
6. Jacksonville State	8	2	4	50.0
7. Southeast Missouri	8	7	15	46.7
8. Eastern Illinois	7	8	18	44.4
9. UT Martin	8	7	16	43.8

OPP 4TH-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Austin Peay	8	3	9	33.3
Jacksonville State	8	5	15	33.3
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	6	15	40.0
4. Murray State	8	8	15	53.3
5. Southeast Missouri	8	6	11	54.5
6. Eastern Illinois	7	11	20	55.0
7. Tennessee State	7	9	16	56.2
8. Tennessee Tech	8	6	9	66.7
9. UT Martin	8	15	19	78.9

2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012
(Conference games)

SACKS BY	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	20	137	2.50
2. UT Martin	8	15	103	1.88
3. Eastern Illinois	7	14	89	2.00
4. Jacksonville State	8	12	76	1.50
5. Tennessee State	7	10	58	1.43
Austin Peay	8	10	71	1.25
7. Murray State	8	9	65	1.12
8. Southeast Missouri	8	8	58	1.00
9. Tennessee Tech	8	7	38	0.88

SACKS AGAINST	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	8	5	31	0.62
2. Austin Peay	8	7	56	0.88
3. UT Martin	8	10	63	1.25
Eastern Illinois	7	10	73	1.43
5. Tennessee State	7	11	85	1.57
6. Eastern Kentucky	8	12	55	1.50
7. Tennessee Tech	8	13	78	1.62
8. Murray State	8	15	101	1.88
9. Southeast Missouri	8	22	153	2.75

PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	8	31	271	33.9
2. Murray State	8	43	368	46.0
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	52	432	54.0
4. Jacksonville State	8	50	442	55.2
5. Eastern Illinois	7	60	468	66.9
6. Austin Peay	8	59	549	68.6
7. UT Martin	8	64	578	72.2
8. Tennessee Tech	8	65	582	72.8
9. Tennessee State	7	65	520	74.3

OPPONENT PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	7	53	521	74.4
2. Austin Peay	8	60	566	70.8
3. Eastern Illinois	7	58	472	67.4
4. UT Martin	8	61	517	64.6
5. Tennessee Tech	8	63	516	64.5
6. Murray State	8	58	471	58.9
7. Southeast Missouri	8	49	465	58.1
8. Jacksonville State	8	43	367	45.9
9. Eastern Kentucky	8	44	315	39.4

TIME OF POSSESSION	G	Total possesion	Avgerage/game
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	278:08	34:46
2. Southeast Missouri	8	260:22	32:32
3. Tennessee State	7	226:40	32:22
4. Jacksonville State	8	255:34	31:56
5. Austin Peay	8	248:58	31:07
6. Tennessee Tech	8	233:48	29:13
7. UT Martin	8	227:17	28:24
8. Murray State	8	197:11	24:38
9. Eastern Illinois	7	170:44	24:23

KICKOFF COVERAGE	G	No.	Yards	Avg.	Ret.	TB	NetAvg
1. UT Martin	8	56	3182	56.8	510	14	41.5
2. Jacksonville State	8	50	2991	59.8	732	13	38.7
3. Murray State	8	67	3803	56.8	1153	4	38.1
4. Southeast Missouri	8	41	2566	62.6	671	16	36.5
5. Eastern Illinois	7	49	2592	52.9	559	10	36.4
6. Tennessee Tech	8	43	2504	58.2	754	8	36.0
7. Tennessee State	7	40	1967	49.2	383	6	35.8
8. Eastern Kentucky	8	52	2860	55.0	903	4	35.7
9. Austin Peay	8	31	1670	53.9	475	8	32.1

RED ZONE OFFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. Murray State	8	45-49	91.8	36	16-20	9-10	2
2. UT Martin	8	29-34	85.3	24	11-13	5-8	0
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	26-31	83.9	20	16-4	6-10	0
4. Tennessee State	7	27-33	81.8	19	8-11	8-11	1
5. Jacksonville State	8	33-41	80.5	27	17-10	6-11	2
6. Tennessee Tech	8	28-35	80.0	25	13-12	3-6	3
7. Eastern Illinois	7	29-37	78.4	25	15-10	4-5	4
8. Southeast Missouri	8	27-35	77.1	20	16-4	7-10	4
9. Austin Peay	8	13-20	65.0	11	2-9	2-5	2

RED ZONE DEFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. Jacksonville State	8	28-38	73.7	26	13-13	2-7	1
2. Southeast Missouri	8	31-41	75.6	25	16-9	6-10	4
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	17-22	77.3	16	8-8	1-2	2
4. Tennessee State	7	22-28	78.6	17	11-6	5-7	2
5. Eastern Illinois	7	29-36	80.6	21	14-7	8-12	1
6. UT Martin	8	33-39	84.6	26	8-18	7-10	3
Tennessee Tech	8	33-39	84.6	23	13-10	10-12	3
8. Austin Peay	8	35-40	87.5	27	18-9	8-11	2
9. Murray State	8	29-32	90.6	26	13-13	3-5	0