

**2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012**

SCORING OFFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Murray State	11	57	11	54	1	0	0	431	39.2
2. Eastern Illinois	12	58	12	48	3	0	0	438	36.5
3. UT Martin	11	49	9	46	0	1	0	369	33.5
4. Tennessee Tech	11	47	6	47	0	0	0	347	31.5
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	46	8	42	1	0	0	344	31.3
6. Jacksonville State	11	42	10	38	1	0	0	322	29.3
7. Tennessee State	11	39	16	33	1	0	0	317	28.8
8. Southeast Missouri	11	34	15	32	0	0	1	283	25.7
9. Austin Peay	11	30	4	28	1	0	1	224	20.4

TOTAL DEFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	11	1684	2294	756	3978	5.3	30	361.6
2. Eastern Kentucky	11	1190	2796	755	3986	5.3	31	362.4
3. Eastern Illinois	12	2043	3178	1048	5221	5.0	54	435.1
4. Southeast Missouri	11	2118	2693	774	4811	6.2	47	437.4
5. Jacksonville State	11	2064	2756	812	4820	5.9	44	438.2
6. Austin Peay	11	1985	2955	741	4940	6.7	54	449.1
7. Tennessee Tech	11	2066	3063	841	5129	6.1	50	466.3
8. UT Martin	11	2027	3145	889	5172	5.8	43	470.2
9. Murray State	11	2200	3443	913	5643	6.2	58	513.0

SCORING DEFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Eastern Kentucky	11	32	6	27	1	0	0	239	21.7
2. Tennessee State	11	32	9	30	1	0	0	251	22.8
3. UT Martin	11	43	9	36	1	0	0	323	29.4
4. Jacksonville State	11	48	7	44	1	0	1	357	32.5
5. Southeast Missouri	11	49	10	42	0	0	0	366	33.3
6. Eastern Illinois	12	56	14	52	1	1	0	434	36.2
7. Tennessee Tech	11	55	16	53	1	0	0	433	39.4
8. Austin Peay	11	58	10	55	1	0	0	435	39.5
9. Murray State	11	62	7	56	2	0	1	455	41.4

TOTAL OFFENSE PLAYS	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	1632	4019	1011	5651	5.6	57	470.9
2. Murray State	11	1490	3838	910	5328	5.9	53	484.4
3. Eastern Kentucky	11	2263	2421	818	4684	5.7	44	425.8
Tennessee State	11	1933	2755	818	4688	5.7	37	426.2
5. Tennessee Tech	11	1823	2658	814	4481	5.5	45	407.4
6. UT Martin	11	1427	3295	808	4722	5.8	45	429.3
7. Jacksonville State	11	2101	2445	793	4546	5.7	41	413.3
8. Austin Peay	11	1729	2120	779	3849	4.9	30	349.9
9. Southeast Missouri	11	2886	1061	758	3947	5.2	31	358.8

TOUCHDOWNS SCORED	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	58	12	48	3	0	0	438	36.5
2. Murray State	11	57	11	54	1	0	0	431	39.2
3. UT Martin	11	49	9	46	0	1	0	369	33.5
4. Tennessee Tech	11	47	6	47	0	0	0	347	31.5
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	46	8	42	1	0	0	344	31.3
6. Jacksonville State	11	42	10	38	1	0	0	322	29.3
7. Tennessee State	11	39	16	33	1	0	0	317	28.8
8. Southeast Missouri	11	34	15	32	0	0	1	283	25.7
9. Austin Peay	11	30	4	28	1	0	1	224	20.4

TOTAL OFFENSE YARDS	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	1632	4019	1011	5651	5.6	57	470.9
2. Murray State	11	1490	3838	910	5328	5.9	53	484.4
3. UT Martin	11	1427	3295	808	4722	5.8	45	429.3
4. Tennessee State	11	1933	2755	818	4688	5.7	37	426.2
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	2263	2421	818	4684	5.7	44	425.8
6. Jacksonville State	11	2101	2445	793	4546	5.7	41	413.3
7. Tennessee Tech	11	1823	2658	814	4481	5.5	45	407.4
8. Southeast Missouri	11	2886	1061	758	3947	5.2	31	358.8
9. Austin Peay	11	1729	2120	779	3849	4.9	30	349.9

PTS SCORED KICKING	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Murray State	11	57	11	54	1	0	0	87	7.9
2. Eastern Illinois	12	58	12	48	3	0	0	84	7.0
3. Tennessee State	11	39	16	33	1	0	0	81	7.4
4. Southeast Missouri	11	34	15	32	0	0	1	77	7.0
5. UT Martin	11	49	9	46	0	1	0	73	6.6
6. Jacksonville State	11	42	10	38	1	0	0	68	6.2
7. Eastern Kentucky	11	46	8	42	1	0	0	66	6.0
8. Tennessee Tech	11	47	6	47	0	0	0	65	5.9
9. Austin Peay	11	30	4	28	1	0	1	40	3.6

TOTAL OFF. AVG/PLAY	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	11	1490	3838	910	5328	5.9	53	484.4
2. UT Martin	11	1427	3295	808	4722	5.8	45	429.3
3. Jacksonville State	11	2101	2445	793	4546	5.7	41	413.3
4. Tennessee State	11	1933	2755	818	4688	5.7	37	426.2
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	2263	2421	818	4684	5.7	44	425.8
6. Eastern Illinois	12	1632	4019	1011	5651	5.6	57	470.9
7. Tennessee Tech	11	1823	2658	814	4481	5.5	45	407.4
8. Southeast Missouri	11	2886	1061	758	3947	5.2	31	358.8
9. Austin Peay	11	1729	2120	779	3849	4.9	30	349.9

TOTAL OFFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	11	1490	3838	910	5328	5.9	53	484.4
2. Eastern Illinois	12	1632	4019	1011	5651	5.6	57	470.9
3. UT Martin	11	1427	3295	808	4722	5.8	45	429.3
4. Tennessee State	11	1933	2755	818	4688	5.7	37	426.2
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	2263	2421	818	4684	5.7	44	425.8
6. Jacksonville State	11	2101	2445	793	4546	5.7	41	413.3
7. Tennessee Tech	11	1823	2658	814	4481	5.5	45	407.4
8. Southeast Missouri	11	2886	1061	758	3947	5.2	31	358.8
9. Austin Peay	11	1729	2120	779	3849	4.9	30	349.9

TOTAL OFFENSE TDS	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	1632	4019	1011	5651	5.6	57	470.9
2. Murray State	11	1490	3838	910	5328	5.9	53	484.4
3. UT Martin	11	1427	3295	808	4722	5.8	45	429.3
Tennessee Tech	11	1823	2658	814	4481	5.5	45	407.4
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	2263	2421	818	4684	5.7	44	425.8
6. Jacksonville State	11	2101	2445	793	4546	5.7	41	413.3
7. Tennessee State	11	1933	2755	818	4688	5.7	37	426.2
8. Southeast Missouri	11	2886	1061	758	3947	5.2	31	358.8
9. Austin Peay	11	1729	2120	779	3849	4.9	30	349.9

**2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012**

RUSHING OFFENSE								RUSHING TDS							
	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G		G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	11	589	2886	4.9	67	23	262.4	1. Eastern Kentucky	11	549	2263	4.1	73	25	205.7
2. Eastern Kentucky	11	549	2263	4.1	73	25	205.7	2. Southeast Missouri	11	589	2886	4.9	67	23	262.4
3. Jacksonville State	11	486	2101	4.3	60	21	191.0	Murray State	11	377	1490	4.0	47	23	135.5
4. Tennessee State	11	454	1933	4.3	51	19	175.7	Eastern Illinois	12	457	1632	3.6	43	23	136.0
5. Tennessee Tech	11	446	1823	4.1	42	18	165.7	5. Jacksonville State	11	486	2101	4.3	60	21	191.0
6. Austin Peay	11	401	1729	4.3	68	12	157.2	6. Tennessee State	11	454	1933	4.3	51	19	175.7
7. Eastern Illinois	12	457	1632	3.6	43	23	136.0	7. Tennessee Tech	11	446	1823	4.1	42	18	165.7
8. Murray State	11	377	1490	4.0	47	23	135.5	8. UT Martin	11	390	1427	3.7	52	14	129.7
9. UT Martin	11	390	1427	3.7	52	14	129.7	9. Austin Peay	11	401	1729	4.3	68	12	157.2

RUSHING DEFENSE								PASS EFFICIENCY							
	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G		G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. Eastern Kentucky	11	339	1190	3.5	43	10	108.2	1. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	220.1	19	152.1
2. Tennessee State	11	402	1684	4.2	52	15	153.1	2. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	299.5	31	150.3
3. Eastern Illinois	12	539	2043	3.8	68	28	170.2	3. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	348.9	30	144.4
4. Austin Peay	11	439	1985	4.5	67	26	180.5	4. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	222.3	20	137.6
5. UT Martin	11	472	2027	4.3	42	13	184.3	5. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	334.9	34	137.3
6. Jacksonville State	11	432	2064	4.8	73	21	187.6	6. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	241.6	27	134.1
7. Tennessee Tech	11	468	2066	4.4	59	24	187.8	7. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	250.5	18	133.7
8. Southeast Missouri	11	420	2118	5.0	48	26	192.5	8. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	96.5	8	118.7
9. Murray State	11	487	2200	4.5	42	26	200.0	9. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	192.7	18	112.3

YARDS PER RUSH								PASS DEFENSE EFFIC.							
	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G		G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. Southeast Missouri	11	589	2886	4.9	67	23	262.4	1. Tennessee State	11	193-354-14	54.5	2294	208.5	15	115.0
2. Jacksonville State	11	486	2101	4.3	60	21	191.0	2. Eastern Illinois	12	316-509-9	62.1	3178	264.8	26	127.8
3. Austin Peay	11	401	1729	4.3	68	12	157.2	3. Eastern Kentucky	11	262-416-16	63.0	2796	254.2	21	128.4
4. Tennessee State	11	454	1933	4.3	51	19	175.7	4. Jacksonville State	11	221-380-9	58.2	2756	250.5	23	134.3
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	549	2263	4.1	73	25	205.7	5. UT Martin	11	234-417-13	56.1	3145	285.9	30	137.0
6. Tennessee Tech	11	446	1823	4.1	42	18	165.7	6. Southeast Missouri	11	230-354-13	65.0	2693	244.8	21	141.1
7. Murray State	11	377	1490	4.0	47	23	135.5	7. Murray State	11	275-426-6	64.6	3443	313.0	32	154.4
8. UT Martin	11	390	1427	3.7	52	14	129.7	8. Tennessee Tech	11	253-373-7	67.8	3063	278.5	26	156.1
9. Eastern Illinois	12	457	1632	3.6	43	23	136.0	9. Austin Peay	11	199-302-8	65.9	2955	268.6	28	173.4

RUSH ATTEMPTS							
	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	11	589	2886	4.9	67	23	262.4
2. Eastern Kentucky	11	549	2263	4.1	73	25	205.7
3. Jacksonville State	11	486	2101	4.3	60	21	191.0
4. Eastern Illinois	12	457	1632	3.6	43	23	136.0
5. Tennessee State	11	454	1933	4.3	51	19	175.7
6. Tennessee Tech	11	446	1823	4.1	42	18	165.7
7. Austin Peay	11	401	1729	4.3	68	12	157.2
8. UT Martin	11	390	1427	3.7	52	14	129.7
9. Murray State	11	377	1490	4.0	47	23	135.5

RUSH YARDS							
	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	11	589	2886	4.9	67	23	262.4
2. Eastern Kentucky	11	549	2263	4.1	73	25	205.7
3. Jacksonville State	11	486	2101	4.3	60	21	191.0
4. Tennessee State	11	454	1933	4.3	51	19	175.7
5. Tennessee Tech	11	446	1823	4.1	42	18	165.7
6. Austin Peay	11	401	1729	4.3	68	12	157.2
7. Eastern Illinois	12	457	1632	3.6	43	23	136.0
8. Murray State	11	377	1490	4.0	47	23	135.5
9. UT Martin	11	390	1427	3.7	52	14	129.7

**2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012**

PASS OFFENSE								PASS COMP. PCT							
	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G		G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	7.2	30	348.9	1. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	10.4	30	348.9
2. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	7.3	34	334.9	2. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	12.4	31	299.5
3. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	7.9	31	299.5	3. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	11.8	34	334.9
4. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	7.6	18	250.5	4. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	12.8	18	250.5
5. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	7.2	27	241.6	5. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	15.6	19	220.1
6. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	8.0	20	222.3	6. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	12.8	27	241.6
7. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	9.0	19	220.1	7. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	11.2	8	96.5
8. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	5.6	18	192.7	8. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	14.3	20	222.3
9. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	6.3	8	96.5	9. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	10.1	18	192.7

PASS DEFENSE								PASS YDS/COMP.							
	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G		G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	11	193-354-14	54.5	2294	6.5	15	208.5	1. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	15.6	19	220.1
2. Southeast Missouri	11	230-354-13	65.0	2693	7.6	21	244.8	2. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	14.3	20	222.3
3. Jacksonville State	11	221-380-9	58.2	2756	7.3	23	250.5	3. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	12.8	27	241.6
4. Eastern Kentucky	11	262-416-16	63.0	2796	6.7	21	254.2	4. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	12.8	18	250.5
5. Eastern Illinois	12	316-509-9	62.1	3178	6.2	26	264.8	5. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	12.4	31	299.5
6. Austin Peay	11	199-302-8	65.9	2955	9.8	28	268.6	6. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	11.8	34	334.9
7. Tennessee Tech	11	253-373-7	67.8	3063	8.2	26	278.5	7. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	11.2	8	96.5
8. UT Martin	11	234-417-13	56.1	3145	7.5	30	285.9	8. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	10.4	30	348.9
9. Murray State	11	275-426-6	64.6	3443	8.1	32	313.0	9. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	10.1	18	192.7

PASS ATTEMPTS								PASS YDS/ATT							
	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G		G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	7.3	34	334.9	1. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	9.0	19	220.1
2. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	7.2	30	348.9	2. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	8.0	20	222.3
3. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	7.9	31	299.5	3. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	7.9	31	299.5
4. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	5.6	18	192.7	4. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	7.6	18	250.5
5. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	7.2	27	241.6	5. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	7.3	34	334.9
6. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	7.6	18	250.5	6. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	7.2	27	241.6
7. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	8.0	20	222.3	7. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	7.2	30	348.9
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	9.0	19	220.1	8. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	6.3	8	96.5
9. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	6.3	8	96.5	9. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	5.6	18	192.7

PASS COMPLETIONS								PASS INTERCEPTIONS							
	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G		G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	10.4	30	348.9	1. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	6.3	8	96.5
2. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	11.8	34	334.9	2. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	9.0	19	220.1
3. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	12.4	31	299.5	3. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	7.9	31	299.5
4. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	12.8	18	250.5	4. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	8.0	20	222.3
5. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	10.1	18	192.7	5. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	7.6	18	250.5
6. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	12.8	27	241.6	6. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	7.2	30	348.9
7. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	14.3	20	222.3	7. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	5.6	18	192.7
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	15.6	19	220.1	8. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	7.2	27	241.6
9. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	11.2	8	96.5	9. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	7.3	34	334.9

PASSING YARDS								PASSING TDS							
	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G		G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	7.3	34	334.9	1. Eastern Illinois	12	341-554-15	61.6	4019	7.3	34	334.9
2. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	7.2	30	348.9	2. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	7.9	31	299.5
3. UT Martin	11	265-418-8	63.4	3295	7.9	31	299.5	3. Murray State	11	370-533-11	69.4	3838	7.2	30	348.9
4. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	7.6	18	250.5	4. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	7.2	27	241.6
5. Tennessee Tech	11	207-368-13	56.2	2658	7.2	27	241.6	5. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	8.0	20	222.3
6. Jacksonville State	11	171-307-10	55.7	2445	8.0	20	222.3	6. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	9.0	19	220.1
7. Eastern Kentucky	11	155-269-6	57.6	2421	9.0	19	220.1	7. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	5.6	18	192.7
8. Austin Peay	11	209-378-11	55.3	2120	5.6	18	192.7	8. Tennessee State	11	216-364-10	59.3	2755	7.6	18	250.5
9. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	6.3	8	96.5	9. Southeast Missouri	11	95-169-5	56.2	1061	6.3	8	96.5

**2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012**

FIELD GOALS	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Eastern Illinois	12	12	13	.923
2. Tennessee State	11	16	20	.800
3. Murray State	11	11	14	.786
4. Southeast Missouri	11	15	22	.682
5. Tennessee Tech	11	6	11	.545
6. Jacksonville State	11	10	19	.526
7. UT Martin	11	9	19	.474
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	8	17	.471
9. Austin Peay	11	4	12	.333

PATS KICKS MADE	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Murray State	11	54	55	.982
2. Eastern Illinois	12	48	52	.923
3. Tennessee Tech	11	47	47	1.000
4. UT Martin	11	46	47	.979
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	42	45	.933
6. Jacksonville State	11	38	39	.974
7. Tennessee State	11	33	36	.917
8. Southeast Missouri	11	32	34	.941
9. Austin Peay	11	28	29	.966

FIELD GOALS ATTEMPTED	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Southeast Missouri	11	15	22	.682
2. Tennessee State	11	16	20	.800
3. Jacksonville State	11	10	19	.526
UT Martin	11	9	19	.474
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	8	17	.471
6. Murray State	11	11	14	.786
7. Eastern Illinois	12	12	13	.923
8. Austin Peay	11	4	12	.333
9. Tennessee Tech	11	6	11	.545

NET YARDS PER PUNT	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P
1. Tennessee Tech	11	65	2910	44.8	317	4.9	5	38.0
2. UT Martin	11	48	1995	41.6	53	1.1	5	37.9
3. Jacksonville State	11	53	2053	38.7	114	2.2	2	35.6
4. Murray State	11	51	2077	40.7	200	3.9	4	34.8
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	47	1779	37.9	105	2.2	3	34.0
6. Southeast Missouri	11	46	1923	41.8	365	7.9	0	33.9
7. UT Martin	11	58	2198	37.9	225	3.9	3	32.7
8. Tennessee State	11	53	1978	37.3	216	4.1	2	32.3
9. Eastern Illinois	12	69	2583	37.4	367	5.3	4	30.7

FIELD GOALS MADE	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Tennessee State	11	16	20	.800
2. Southeast Missouri	11	15	22	.682
3. Eastern Illinois	12	12	13	.923
4. Murray State	11	11	14	.786
5. Jacksonville State	11	10	19	.526
6. UT Martin	11	9	19	.474
7. Eastern Kentucky	11	8	17	.471
8. Tennessee Tech	11	6	11	.545
9. Austin Peay	11	4	12	.333

AVG YARDS PER PUNT	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P
1. Tennessee Tech	11	65	2910	44.8	317	4.9	5	38.0
2. Southeast Missouri	11	46	1923	41.8	365	7.9	0	33.9
3. UT Martin	11	48	1995	41.6	53	1.1	5	37.9
4. Murray State	11	51	2077	40.7	200	3.9	4	34.8
5. Jacksonville State	11	53	2053	38.7	114	2.2	2	35.6
6. Austin Peay	11	58	2198	37.9	225	3.9	3	32.7
7. Eastern Kentucky	11	47	1779	37.9	105	2.2	3	34.0
8. Eastern Illinois	12	69	2583	37.4	367	5.3	4	30.7
9. Tennessee State	11	53	1978	37.3	216	4.1	2	32.3

PAT KICKING	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Tennessee Tech	11	47	47	1.000
2. Murray State	11	54	55	.982
3. UT Martin	11	46	47	.979
4. Jacksonville State	11	38	39	.974
5. Austin Peay	11	28	29	.966
6. Southeast Missouri	11	32	34	.941
7. Eastern Kentucky	11	42	45	.933
8. Eastern Illinois	12	48	52	.923
9. Tennessee State	11	33	36	.917

NUMBER OF PUNTS	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P
1. Eastern Illinois	12	69	2583	37.4	367	5.3	4	30.7
2. Tennessee Tech	11	65	2910	44.8	317	4.9	5	38.0
3. Austin Peay	11	58	2198	37.9	225	3.9	3	32.7
4. Tennessee State	11	53	1978	37.3	216	4.1	2	32.3
Jacksonville State	11	53	2053	38.7	114	2.2	2	35.6
6. Murray State	11	51	2077	40.7	200	3.9	4	34.8
7. UT Martin	11	48	1995	41.6	53	1.1	5	37.9
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	47	1779	37.9	105	2.2	3	34.0
9. Southeast Missouri	11	46	1923	41.8	365	7.9	0	33.9

PAT KICK ATTEMPTS	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Murray State	11	54	55	.982
2. Eastern Illinois	12	48	52	.923
3. Tennessee Tech	11	47	47	1.000
UT Martin	11	46	47	.979
5. Eastern Kentucky	11	42	45	.933
6. Jacksonville State	11	38	39	.974
7. Tennessee State	11	33	36	.917
8. Southeast Missouri	11	32	34	.941
9. Austin Peay	11	28	29	.966

YARDS PUNTED	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P
1. Tennessee Tech	11	65	2910	44.8	317	4.9	5	38.0
2. Eastern Illinois	12	69	2583	37.4	367	5.3	4	30.7
3. Austin Peay	11	58	2198	37.9	225	3.9	3	32.7
4. Murray State	11	51	2077	40.7	200	3.9	4	34.8
5. Jacksonville State	11	53	2053	38.7	114	2.2	2	35.6
6. UT Martin	11	48	1995	41.6	53	1.1	5	37.9
7. Tennessee State	11	53	1978	37.3	216	4.1	2	32.3
8. Southeast Missouri	11	46	1923	41.8	365	7.9	0	33.9
9. Eastern Kentucky	11	47	1779	37.9	105	2.2	3	34.0

**2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012**

PUNT RETURN AVG							KICK RETURNS						
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. UT Martin	11	17	280	1	75	16.5	1. Eastern Illinois	12	55	1062	0	41	19.3
2. Murray State	11	23	306	2	100	13.3	2. Tennessee Tech	11	53	1032	1	94	19.5
3. Jacksonville State	11	17	164	0	23	9.6	3. Murray State	11	51	1120	1	85	22.0
4. Austin Peay	11	20	161	0	28	8.1	4. Austin Peay	11	51	953	0	54	18.7
5. Tennessee State	11	19	148	0	36	7.8	5. Southeast Missouri	11	48	942	0	53	19.6
6. Southeast Missouri	11	7	49	0	33	7.0	6. Jacksonville State	11	43	917	0	73	21.3
7. Tennessee Tech	11	14	96	0	30	6.9	7. UT Martin	11	42	892	1	93	21.2
8. Eastern Illinois	12	17	74	0	14	4.4	8. Tennessee State	11	40	764	0	66	19.1
9. Eastern Kentucky	11	13	56	0	20	4.3	9. Eastern Kentucky	11	35	821	0	82	23.5

PUNT RETURNS							KICK RETURN YARDS						
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Murray State	11	23	306	2	100	13.3	1. Murray State	11	51	1120	1	85	22.0
2. Austin Peay	11	20	161	0	28	8.1	2. Eastern Illinois	12	55	1062	0	41	19.3
3. Tennessee State	11	19	148	0	36	7.8	3. Tennessee Tech	11	53	1032	1	94	19.5
4. Eastern Illinois	12	17	74	0	14	4.4	4. Austin Peay	11	51	953	0	54	18.7
Jacksonville State	11	17	164	0	23	9.6	5. Southeast Missouri	11	48	942	0	53	19.6
UT Martin	11	17	280	1	75	16.5	6. Jacksonville State	11	43	917	0	73	21.3
7. Tennessee Tech	11	14	96	0	30	6.9	7. UT Martin	11	42	892	1	93	21.2
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	13	56	0	20	4.3	8. Eastern Kentucky	11	35	821	0	82	23.5
9. Southeast Missouri	11	7	49	0	33	7.0	9. Tennessee State	11	40	764	0	66	19.1

PUNT RETURN YARDS							KICK RETURN TDS						
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Murray State	11	23	306	2	100	13.3	1. Murray State	11	51	1120	1	85	22.0
2. UT Martin	11	17	280	1	75	16.5	Tennessee Tech	11	53	1032	1	94	19.5
3. Jacksonville State	11	17	164	0	23	9.6	UT Martin	11	42	892	1	93	21.2
4. Austin Peay	11	20	161	0	28	8.1	4. Eastern Illinois	12	55	1062	0	41	19.3
5. Tennessee State	11	19	148	0	36	7.8	Austin Peay	11	51	953	0	54	18.7
6. Tennessee Tech	11	14	96	0	30	6.9	Southeast Missouri	11	48	942	0	53	19.6
7. Eastern Illinois	12	17	74	0	14	4.4	Jacksonville State	11	43	917	0	73	21.3
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	13	56	0	20	4.3	Eastern Kentucky	11	35	821	0	82	23.5
9. Southeast Missouri	11	7	49	0	33	7.0	Tennessee State	11	40	764	0	66	19.1

PUNT RETURN TDS						
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Murray State	11	23	306	2	100	13.3
2. UT Martin	11	17	280	1	75	16.5
3. Jacksonville State	11	17	164	0	23	9.6
Austin Peay	11	20	161	0	28	8.1
Tennessee State	11	19	148	0	36	7.8
Tennessee Tech	11	14	96	0	30	6.9
Eastern Illinois	12	17	74	0	14	4.4
Eastern Kentucky	11	13	56	0	20	4.3
Southeast Missouri	11	7	49	0	33	7.0

KICKOFF RETURNS						
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Eastern Kentucky	11	35	821	0	82	23.5
2. Murray State	11	51	1120	1	85	22.0
3. Jacksonville State	11	43	917	0	73	21.3
4. UT Martin	11	42	892	1	93	21.2
5. Southeast Missouri	11	48	942	0	53	19.6
6. Tennessee Tech	11	53	1032	1	94	19.5
7. Eastern Illinois	12	55	1062	0	41	19.3
8. Tennessee State	11	40	764	0	66	19.1
9. Austin Peay	11	51	953	0	54	18.7

**2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012**

INTERCEPTION RETURN AVG							FUMBLE RETURNS						
G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		
1. Southeast Missouri	11	13	325	2	100	25.0	1. Murray State	11	3	62	0	50	20.7
2. Eastern Illinois	12	9	196	1	39	21.8	2. Eastern Kentucky	11	2	9	0	7	4.5
3. Eastern Kentucky	11	16	317	2	84	19.8	Jacksonville State	11	2	124	1	75	62.0
4. UT Martin	11	13	144	1	42	11.1	Tennessee Tech	11	2	23	0	15	11.5
5. Tennessee Tech	11	7	77	1	49	11.0	Eastern Illinois	12	2	21	0	15	10.5
6. Murray State	11	6	51	1	33	8.5	6. Austin Peay	11	1	2	0	2	2.0
7. Tennessee State	11	14	116	0	62	8.3	UT Martin	11	1	11	1	11	11.0
8. Austin Peay	11	8	66	0	38	8.2	Tennessee State	11	1	70	2	70	70.0
9. Jacksonville State	11	9	66	0	19	7.3	Southeast Missouri	11	1	98	1	98	98.0

INTERCEPTION RETURNS							FUMBLE RETURN YARDS						
G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		
1. Eastern Kentucky	11	16	317	2	84	19.8	1. Jacksonville State	11	2	124	1	75	62.0
2. Tennessee State	11	14	116	0	62	8.3	2. Southeast Missouri	11	1	98	1	98	98.0
3. Southeast Missouri	11	13	325	2	100	25.0	3. Tennessee State	11	1	70	2	70	70.0
UT Martin	11	13	144	1	42	11.1	4. Murray State	11	3	62	0	50	20.7
5. Eastern Illinois	12	9	196	1	39	21.8	5. Tennessee Tech	11	2	23	0	15	11.5
Jacksonville State	11	9	66	0	19	7.3	6. Eastern Illinois	12	2	21	0	15	10.5
7. Austin Peay	11	8	66	0	38	8.2	7. UT Martin	11	1	11	1	11	11.0
8. Tennessee Tech	11	7	77	1	49	11.0	8. Eastern Kentucky	11	2	9	0	7	4.5
9. Murray State	11	6	51	1	33	8.5	9. Austin Peay	11	1	2	0	2	2.0

INTERCEPTION RET YARDS							FUMBLE RETURN TDS						
G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		
1. Southeast Missouri	11	13	325	2	100	25.0	1. Tennessee State	11	1	70	2	70	70.0
2. Eastern Kentucky	11	16	317	2	84	19.8	2. Jacksonville State	11	2	124	1	75	62.0
3. Eastern Illinois	12	9	196	1	39	21.8	Southeast Missouri	11	1	98	1	98	98.0
4. UT Martin	11	13	144	1	42	11.1	UT Martin	11	1	11	1	11	11.0
5. Tennessee State	11	14	116	0	62	8.3	5. Murray State	11	3	62	0	50	20.7
6. Tennessee Tech	11	7	77	1	49	11.0	Tennessee Tech	11	2	23	0	15	11.5
7. Jacksonville State	11	9	66	0	19	7.3	Eastern Illinois	12	2	21	0	15	10.5
Austin Peay	11	8	66	0	38	8.2	Eastern Kentucky	11	2	9	0	7	4.5
9. Murray State	11	6	51	1	33	8.5	Austin Peay	11	1	2	0	2	2.0

INTERCEPTION RETURN TDS							FUMBLES RECOVERED						
G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		
1. Southeast Missouri	11	13	325	2	100	25.0	1. Eastern Illinois	12	2	21	0	15	10.5
Eastern Kentucky	11	16	317	2	84	19.8	2. UT Martin	11	1	11	1	11	11.0
3. Eastern Illinois	12	9	196	1	39	21.8	3. Southeast Missouri	11	1	98	1	98	98.0
UT Martin	11	13	144	1	42	11.1	Eastern Kentucky	11	2	9	0	7	4.5
Tennessee Tech	11	7	77	1	49	11.0	5. Tennessee Tech	11	2	23	0	15	11.5
Murray State	11	6	51	1	33	8.5	Murray State	11	3	62	0	50	20.7
7. Tennessee State	11	14	116	0	62	8.3	Austin Peay	11	1	2	0	2	2.0
Jacksonville State	11	9	66	0	19	7.3	8. Tennessee State	11	1	70	2	70	70.0
Austin Peay	11	8	66	0	38	8.2	9. Jacksonville State	11	2	124	1	75	62.0

FUMBLE RETURN AVG							TURNOVER MARGIN									
G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.	Gained			Lost			Margin	Per/G			
							Fum	Int	Tot	Fum	Int	Tot				
1. Southeast Missouri	11	1	98	1	98	98.0	1. Eastern Kentucky	11	12	16	28	3	6	9	+19	1.73
2. Tennessee State	11	1	70	2	70	70.0	2. UT Martin	11	13	13	26	5	8	13	+13	1.18
3. Jacksonville State	11	2	124	1	75	62.0	3. Southeast Missouri	11	12	13	25	13	5	18	+7	0.64
4. Murray State	11	3	62	0	50	20.7	4. Tennessee State	11	7	14	21	9	10	19	+2	0.18
5. Tennessee Tech	11	2	23	0	15	11.5	5. Austin Peay	11	9	8	17	9	11	20	-3	-0.27
6. UT Martin	11	1	11	1	11	11.0	6. Tennessee Tech	11	10	7	17	8	13	21	-4	-0.36
7. Eastern Illinois	12	2	21	0	15	10.5	Murray State	11	9	6	15	8	11	19	-4	-0.36
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	2	9	0	7	4.5	8. Eastern Illinois	12	16	9	25	15	15	30	-5	-0.42
9. Austin Peay	11	1	2	0	2	2.0	9. Jacksonville State	11	5	9	14	9	10	19	-5	-0.45

**2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012**

FIRST DOWNS	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	88	186	30	304	25.3
2. Murray State	11	91	191	16	298	27.1
3. UT Martin	11	77	146	26	249	22.6
4. Eastern Kentucky	11	136	105	6	247	22.5
5. Jacksonville State	11	113	116	15	244	22.2
6. Tennessee State	11	104	121	18	243	22.1
7. Southeast Missouri	11	160	51	18	229	20.8
8. Tennessee Tech	11	103	101	23	227	20.6
9. Austin Peay	11	92	97	12	201	18.3

3RD-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Eastern Kentucky	11	91	177	51.4
2. Jacksonville State	11	78	164	47.6
3. Tennessee State	11	76	175	43.4
4. Southeast Missouri	11	66	155	42.6
5. Murray State	11	69	167	41.3
6. Eastern Illinois	12	84	205	41.0
7. UT Martin	11	62	155	40.0
8. Austin Peay	11	66	172	38.4
9. Tennessee Tech	11	60	169	35.5

1ST DOWNS RUSHING	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	11	160	51	18	229	20.8
2. Eastern Kentucky	11	136	105	6	247	22.5
3. Jacksonville State	11	113	116	15	244	22.2
4. Tennessee State	11	104	121	18	243	22.1
5. Tennessee Tech	11	103	101	23	227	20.6
6. Austin Peay	11	92	97	12	201	18.3
7. Murray State	11	91	191	16	298	27.1
8. Eastern Illinois	12	88	186	30	304	25.3
9. UT Martin	11	77	146	26	249	22.6

OPP 3RD-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Tennessee State	11	54	153	35.3
2. Eastern Illinois	12	92	218	42.2
3. Eastern Kentucky	11	68	155	43.9
4. Tennessee Tech	11	75	169	44.4
5. UT Martin	11	82	183	44.8
6. Jacksonville State	11	71	157	45.2
7. Murray State	11	85	181	47.0
8. Austin Peay	11	69	139	49.6
9. Southeast Missouri	11	81	148	54.7

1ST DOWNS PASSING	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Murray State	11	91	191	16	298	27.1
2. Eastern Illinois	12	88	186	30	304	25.3
3. UT Martin	11	77	146	26	249	22.6
4. Tennessee State	11	104	121	18	243	22.1
5. Jacksonville State	11	113	116	15	244	22.2
6. Eastern Kentucky	11	136	105	6	247	22.5
7. Tennessee Tech	11	103	101	23	227	20.6
8. Austin Peay	11	92	97	12	201	18.3
9. Southeast Missouri	11	160	51	18	229	20.8

4TH-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Eastern Kentucky	11	10	15	66.7
2. Tennessee Tech	11	13	20	65.0
3. Tennessee State	11	10	16	62.5
4. Murray State	11	15	27	55.6
5. Austin Peay	11	15	31	48.4
6. UT Martin	11	11	23	47.8
7. Southeast Missouri	11	8	17	47.1
8. Eastern Illinois	12	10	24	41.7
9. Jacksonville State	11	2	6	33.3

1ST DOWNS BY PENALTY	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	88	186	30	304	25.3
2. UT Martin	11	77	146	26	249	22.6
3. Tennessee Tech	11	103	101	23	227	20.6
4. Tennessee State	11	104	121	18	243	22.1
5. Southeast Missouri	11	160	51	18	229	20.8
6. Murray State	11	91	191	16	298	27.1
7. Jacksonville State	11	113	116	15	244	22.2
8. Austin Peay	11	92	97	12	201	18.3
9. Eastern Kentucky	11	136	105	6	247	22.5

OPP 4TH-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Austin Peay	11	3	11	27.3
2. Tennessee State	11	10	25	40.0
3. Jacksonville State	11	8	20	40.0
4. Eastern Kentucky	11	9	20	45.0
5. Eastern Illinois	12	16	30	53.3
6. Murray State	11	11	20	55.0
7. Southeast Missouri	11	8	13	61.5
8. Tennessee Tech	11	11	16	68.8
9. UT Martin	11	20	28	71.4

OPPONENT 1ST DOWNS	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	11	92	105	23	220	20.0
2. Eastern Kentucky	11	76	126	19	221	20.1
3. Austin Peay	11	111	118	16	245	22.3
4. Southeast Missouri	11	110	130	6	246	22.4
5. Jacksonville State	11	107	130	19	256	23.3
6. Tennessee Tech	11	109	137	19	265	24.1
7. UT Martin	11	122	146	18	286	26.0
8. Eastern Illinois	12	114	154	28	296	24.7
9. Murray State	11	124	159	17	300	27.3

**2012 Ohio Valley Conference
Extended Team Statistics Through games of Nov 27, 2012**

SACKS BY	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	25	163	2.08
2. Eastern Kentucky	11	24	162	2.18
3. UT Martin	11	22	136	2.00
4. Tennessee State	11	21	142	1.91
5. Austin Peay	11	15	100	1.36
Jacksonville State	11	15	85	1.36
7. Murray State	11	13	96	1.18
8. Tennessee Tech	11	8	43	0.73
Southeast Missouri	11	8	58	0.73

KICKOFF COVERAGE	G	No.	Yards	Avg.	Ret.	TB	NetAvg
1. UT Martin	11	68	3862	56.8	691	16	40.8
2. Southeast Missouri	11	57	3505	61.5	910	17	38.1
3. Jacksonville State	11	61	3662	60.0	974	15	37.9
4. Murray State	11	78	4432	56.8	1329	6	37.9
5. Eastern Illinois	12	74	3872	52.3	831	13	36.7
6. Tennessee State	11	62	3188	51.4	743	7	36.6
7. Tennessee Tech	11	61	3576	58.6	1033	13	36.4
8. Eastern Kentucky	11	65	3614	55.6	1155	5	35.9
9. Austin Peay	11	45	2553	56.7	621	15	34.6

SACKS AGAINST	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Austin Peay	11	10	81	0.91
2. Jacksonville State	11	12	96	1.09
UT Martin	11	12	81	1.09
4. Eastern Kentucky	11	17	98	1.55
Tennessee State	11	17	120	1.55
6. Tennessee Tech	11	22	131	2.00
7. Southeast Missouri	11	29	203	2.64
Eastern Illinois	12	29	179	2.42
9. Murray State	11	30	203	2.73

RED ZONE OFFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. Tennessee State	11	40-48	83.3	30	16-14	10-13	2
2. Murray State	11	52-63	82.5	42	19-23	10-12	5
3. Tennessee Tech	11	38-47	80.9	33	16-17	5-8	3
4. Eastern Kentucky	11	33-41	80.5	26	21-5	7-11	3
5. Eastern Illinois	12	45-56	80.4	39	21-18	6-7	5
6. Southeast Missouri	11	36-46	78.3	25	19-6	11-15	5
7. Jacksonville State	11	38-51	74.5	30	18-12	8-16	2
8. UT Martin	11	37-50	74.0	30	14-16	7-15	2
9. Austin Peay	11	21-31	67.7	19	8-11	2-5	2

PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	11	48	398	36.2
2. Eastern Kentucky	11	70	565	51.4
3. Murray State	11	67	569	51.7
4. Jacksonville State	11	69	594	54.0
5. Austin Peay	11	74	671	61.0
6. UT Martin	11	78	691	62.8
7. Tennessee Tech	11	86	733	66.6
8. Eastern Illinois	12	104	861	71.8
9. Tennessee State	11	112	961	87.4

RED ZONE DEFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. Tennessee State	11	30-43	69.8	21	12-9	9-13	4
2. Southeast Missouri	11	38-50	76.0	31	20-11	7-11	6
3. Jacksonville State	11	39-51	76.5	35	19-16	4-11	1
4. UT Martin	11	40-52	76.9	32	12-20	8-15	4
5. Eastern Illinois	12	60-74	81.1	46	27-19	14-20	3
6. Eastern Kentucky	11	28-34	82.4	25	10-15	3-5	2
7. Austin Peay	11	45-54	83.3	37	24-13	8-14	2
8. Tennessee Tech	11	45-52	86.5	35	20-15	10-13	3
9. Murray State	11	46-51	90.2	40	22-18	6-9	0

OPPONENT PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	12	104	817	68.1
2. Austin Peay	11	76	741	67.4
Tennessee State	11	80	741	67.4
4. UT Martin	11	82	737	67.0
5. Tennessee Tech	11	90	725	65.9
6. Murray State	11	75	635	57.7
7. Southeast Missouri	11	60	549	49.9
8. Jacksonville State	11	54	440	40.0
9. Eastern Kentucky	11	55	405	36.8

TIME OF POSSESSION	G	Total possession	Average/game
1. Eastern Kentucky	11	376:40	34:14
2. Southeast Missouri	11	353:42	32:09
3. Tennessee State	11	352:25	32:02
4. Jacksonville State	11	347:59	31:38
5. Austin Peay	11	345:49	31:26
6. Tennessee Tech	11	329:35	29:57
7. UT Martin	11	325:46	29:36
8. Murray State	11	288:44	26:14
9. Eastern Illinois	12	287:07	23:55