

**2013 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Nov 23, 2013
(Conference games)**

SCORING OFFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	8	58	2	51	1	0	1	409	51.1
2. Jacksonville State	8	37	10	36	0	0	1	290	36.2
3. Murray State	8	26	10	25	1	0	0	213	26.6
4. UT Martin	8	26	8	24	1	0	1	208	26.0
5. Tennessee State	8	25	9	24	0	1	1	205	25.6
6. Eastern Kentucky	8	24	7	23	0	0	0	188	23.5
7. Southeast Missouri	8	26	4	18	0	0	0	186	23.2
8. Tennessee Tech	8	24	5	23	0	0	0	182	22.8
9. Austin Peay	8	8	7	8	0	0	0	77	9.6

RUSHING DEFENSE	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	8	277	778	2.8	99	8	97.2
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	278	971	3.5	71	11	121.4
3. Eastern Illinois	8	305	1051	3.4	47	4	131.4
4. UT Martin	8	309	1181	3.8	50	10	147.6
5. Tennessee Tech	8	333	1220	3.7	42	12	152.5
6. Austin Peay	8	336	1846	5.5	79	31	230.8
7. Murray State	8	443	1924	4.3	61	18	240.5
8. Jacksonville State	8	407	1936	4.8	57	15	242.0
9. Southeast Missouri	8	401	2210	5.5	52	26	276.2

SCORING DEFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	8	19	2	15	0	0	1	137	17.1
2. Tennessee State	8	20	7	16	1	0	1	161	20.1
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	22	9	21	0	0	0	180	22.5
4. UT Martin	8	26	7	23	0	0	1	202	25.2
5. Jacksonville State	8	27	8	25	1	0	0	213	26.6
6. Murray State	8	28	9	27	0	0	1	224	28.0
7. Tennessee Tech	8	31	6	30	0	0	0	234	29.2
8. Southeast Missouri	8	38	9	37	0	1	0	294	36.8
9. Austin Peay	8	43	5	38	1	0	0	313	39.1

PASS OFFENSE	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	8	214-335-6	63.9	2910	8.7	30	363.8
2. Murray State	8	205-313-12	65.5	1981	6.3	12	247.6
3. UT Martin	8	169-271-6	62.4	1978	7.3	13	247.2
4. Jacksonville State	8	128-222-6	57.7	1719	7.7	6	214.9
5. Tennessee State	8	127-222-2	57.2	1472	6.6	17	184.0
6. Tennessee Tech	8	133-257-8	51.8	1325	5.2	8	165.6
7. Eastern Kentucky	8	85-177-8	48.0	1016	5.7	7	127.0
8. Southeast Missouri	8	94-173-7	54.3	936	5.4	8	117.0
9. Austin Peay	8	85-196-7	43.4	746	3.8	3	93.2

TOTAL OFFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Eastern Illinois	8	1808	2910	691	4718	6.8	55	589.8
2. Jacksonville State	8	1819	1719	607	3538	5.8	35	442.2
3. UT Martin	8	1351	1978	601	3329	5.5	26	416.1
4. Murray State	8	1192	1981	603	3173	5.3	23	396.6
5. Southeast Missouri	8	1824	936	530	2760	5.2	23	345.0
6. Tennessee State	8	1227	1472	553	2699	4.9	23	337.4
7. Eastern Kentucky	8	1530	1016	581	2546	4.4	23	318.2
8. Tennessee Tech	8	1203	1325	571	2528	4.4	24	316.0
9. Austin Peay	8	1163	746	518	1909	3.7	7	238.6

PASS DEFENSE	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	8	103-213-6	48.4	1106	5.2	12	138.2
2. Eastern Illinois	8	147-276-5	53.3	1376	5.0	13	172.0
3. Austin Peay	8	123-187-4	65.8	1439	7.7	11	179.9
4. Tennessee State	8	140-264-10	53.0	1593	6.0	10	199.1
5. Southeast Missouri	8	122-192-8	63.5	1601	8.3	11	200.1
6. Murray State	8	151-258-8	58.5	1611	6.2	10	201.4
7. UT Martin	8	128-257-8	49.8	1669	6.5	13	208.6
8. Eastern Kentucky	8	170-270-5	63.0	1760	6.5	9	220.0
9. Tennessee Tech	8	156-249-8	62.7	1928	7.7	15	241.0

TOTAL DEFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	8	778	1593	541	2371	4.4	18	296.4
2. Eastern Illinois	8	1051	1376	581	2427	4.2	17	303.4
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	971	1760	548	2731	5.0	20	341.4
4. UT Martin	8	1181	1669	566	2850	5.0	23	356.2
5. Jacksonville State	8	1936	1106	620	3042	4.9	27	380.2
6. Tennessee Tech	8	1220	1928	582	3148	5.4	27	393.5
7. Austin Peay	8	1846	1439	523	3285	6.3	42	410.6
8. Murray State	8	1924	1611	701	3535	5.0	28	441.9
9. Southeast Missouri	8	2210	1601	593	3811	6.4	37	476.4

PASS EFFICIENCY	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. Eastern Illinois	8	214-335-6	63.9	2910	363.8	30	162.8
2. Tennessee State	8	127-222-2	57.2	1472	184.0	17	136.4
3. UT Martin	8	169-271-6	62.4	1978	247.2	13	135.1
4. Jacksonville State	8	128-222-6	57.7	1719	214.9	6	126.2
5. Murray State	8	205-313-12	65.5	1981	247.6	12	123.6
6. Southeast Missouri	8	94-173-7	54.3	936	117.0	8	107.0
7. Eastern Kentucky	8	85-177-8	48.0	1016	127.0	7	100.3
8. Tennessee Tech	8	133-257-8	51.8	1325	165.6	8	99.1
9. Austin Peay	8	85-196-7	43.4	746	93.2	3	73.2

RUSHING OFFENSE	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	8	357	1824	5.1	99	15	228.0
2. Jacksonville State	8	385	1819	4.7	71	29	227.4
3. Eastern Illinois	8	356	1808	5.1	61	25	226.0
4. Eastern Kentucky	8	404	1530	3.8	79	16	191.2
5. UT Martin	8	330	1351	4.1	54	13	168.9
6. Tennessee State	8	331	1227	3.7	39	6	153.4
7. Tennessee Tech	8	314	1203	3.8	48	16	150.4
8. Murray State	8	290	1192	4.1	47	11	149.0
9. Austin Peay	8	322	1163	3.6	46	4	145.4

PASS DEFENSE EFFIC.	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. Jacksonville State	8	103-213-6	48.4	1106	138.2	12	104.9
2. Eastern Illinois	8	147-276-5	53.3	1376	172.0	13	107.1
3. Tennessee State	8	140-264-10	53.0	1593	199.1	10	108.6
4. UT Martin	8	128-257-8	49.8	1669	208.6	13	114.8
5. Murray State	8	151-258-8	58.5	1611	201.4	10	117.6
6. Eastern Kentucky	8	170-270-5	63.0	1760	220.0	9	125.0
7. Tennessee Tech	8	156-249-8	62.7	1928	241.0	15	141.1
8. Southeast Missouri	8	122-192-8	63.5	1601	200.1	11	144.2
9. Austin Peay	8	123-187-4	65.8	1439	179.9	11	145.5

**2013 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Nov 23, 2013
(Conference games)**

KICKOFF RETURNS							FIELD GOALS							
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		G	Made	Att	Pct.			
1. Southeast Missouri	8	40	865	1	93	21.6	1. Eastern Kentucky	8	7	7	1.000			
2. Jacksonville State	8	22	438	0	40	19.9	2. UT Martin	8	8	10	.800			
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	19	372	0	29	19.6	3. Jacksonville State	8	10	14	.714			
4. Murray State	8	32	614	0	47	19.2	4. Murray State	8	10	15	.667			
5. Austin Peay	8	35	656	1	99	18.7	5. Austin Peay	8	7	11	.636			
6. UT Martin	8	23	426	0	36	18.5	6. Tennessee Tech	8	5	8	.625			
7. Tennessee Tech	8	33	588	0	45	17.8	7. Tennessee State	8	9	16	.562			
8. Eastern Illinois	8	16	284	0	76	17.8	8. Southeast Missouri	8	4	9	.444			
9. Tennessee State	8	26	367	0	40	14.1	9. Eastern Illinois	8	2	9	.222			
PUNT RETURN AVG							PAT KICKING							
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		G	Made	Att	Pct.			
1. Eastern Illinois	8	13	302	2	80	23.2	1. Murray State	8	25	25	1.000			
2. Murray State	8	16	187	1	88	11.7	UT Martin	8	24	24	1.000			
3. Jacksonville State	8	15	153	0	26	10.2	Austin Peay	8	8	8	1.000			
4. Southeast Missouri	8	7	67	1	29	9.6	4. Jacksonville State	8	36	37	.973			
5. Austin Peay	8	2	19	0	19	9.5	5. Tennessee State	8	24	25	.960			
6. UT Martin	8	16	132	0	47	8.2	6. Tennessee Tech	8	23	24	.958			
7. Eastern Kentucky	8	21	158	0	34	7.5	Eastern Kentucky	8	23	24	.958			
8. Tennessee State	8	25	163	0	23	6.5	8. Eastern Illinois	8	51	57	.895			
9. Tennessee Tech	8	17	110	0	20	6.5	9. Southeast Missouri	8	18	23	.783			
INTERCEPTIONS							SACKS BY							
	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.		G	No.	Yards	Avg/G			
1. Tennessee State	8	10	91	1	24	9.1	1. Tennessee State	8	25	173	3.12			
2. Murray State	8	8	88	0	48	11.0	2. UT Martin	8	21	139	2.62			
3. UT Martin	8	8	144	0	42	18.0	3. Jacksonville State	8	20	128	2.50			
4. Tennessee Tech	8	8	85	0	38	10.6	4. Murray State	8	19	125	2.38			
5. Southeast Missouri	8	8	143	0	72	17.9	5. Eastern Illinois	8	17	139	2.12			
6. Jacksonville State	8	6	161	1	95	26.8	6. Tennessee Tech	8	13	93	1.62			
7. Eastern Kentucky	8	5	55	0	29	11.0	7. Eastern Kentucky	8	12	81	1.50			
8. Eastern Illinois	8	5	41	0	37	8.2	8. Southeast Missouri	8	8	56	1.00			
9. Austin Peay	8	4	39	0	25	9.8	9. Austin Peay	8	5	32	0.62			
PUNTING							SACKS AGAINST							
	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P		G	No.	Yards	Avg/G	
1. Eastern Kentucky	8	45	1974	43.9	95	2.1	4	40.0	1. Eastern Illinois	8	7	56	0.88	
2. UT Martin	8	39	1553	39.8	87	2.2	2	36.6	2. Southeast Missouri	8	12	77	1.50	
3. Tennessee State	8	50	1948	39.0	63	1.3	3	36.5	3. UT Martin	8	13	126	1.62	
4. Jacksonville State	8	36	1503	41.8	101	2.8	5	36.2	4. Jacksonville State	8	14	95	1.75	
5. Murray State	8	41	1587	38.7	73	1.8	2	36.0	5. Austin Peay	8	15	100	1.88	
6. Southeast Missouri	8	39	1508	38.7	136	3.5	0	35.2	6. Eastern Kentucky	8	17	130	2.12	
7. Austin Peay	8	57	2215	38.9	207	3.6	1	34.9	7. Tennessee State	8	18	126	2.25	
8. Tennessee Tech	8	53	2363	44.6	414	7.8	8	33.8	8. Murray State	8	22	113	2.75	
9. Eastern Illinois	8	30	1036	34.5	115	3.8	2	29.4	Tennessee Tech	8	22	143	2.75	
KICKOFF COVERAGE							FIRST DOWNS							
	G	No.	Yards	Avg.	Ret.	TB	NetAvg		G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	8	52	3217	61.9	538	24	42.3	1. Eastern Illinois	8	88	121	21	230	28.8
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	39	2403	61.6	516	14	41.2	2. Jacksonville State	8	95	79	15	189	23.6
3. UT Martin	8	42	2452	58.4	507	12	40.6	3. UT Martin	8	68	91	12	171	21.4
4. Murray State	8	41	2379	58.0	605	6	40.3	4. Murray State	8	67	93	7	167	20.9
5. Tennessee State	8	41	2229	54.4	564	7	37.2	5. Tennessee Tech	8	65	63	20	148	18.5
6. Austin Peay	8	22	1182	53.7	303	4	36.3	6. Eastern Kentucky	8	79	47	21	147	18.4
7. Eastern Illinois	8	69	3376	48.9	695	15	34.5	7. Southeast Missouri	8	85	45	15	145	18.1
8. Tennessee Tech	8	35	1808	51.7	512	5	34.2	8. Tennessee State	8	63	64	16	143	17.9
9. Southeast Missouri	8	35	1594	45.5	370	5	32.1	9. Austin Peay	8	69	29	9	107	13.4

**2013 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Nov 23, 2013
(Conference games)**

OPPONENT 1ST DOWNS	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	8	47	66	23	136	17.0
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	53	69	16	138	17.2
Eastern Illinois	8	56	66	16	138	17.2
4. UT Martin	8	69	58	16	143	17.9
5. Tennessee Tech	8	64	86	6	156	19.5
6. Austin Peay	8	86	66	11	163	20.4
7. Jacksonville State	8	96	59	22	177	22.1
8. Southeast Missouri	8	109	76	8	193	24.1
9. Murray State	8	99	86	18	203	25.4

3RD-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Eastern Illinois	8	55	130	42.3
2. Eastern Kentucky	8	52	129	40.3
3. Murray State	8	48	121	39.7
4. Jacksonville State	8	46	120	38.3
5. Southeast Missouri	8	41	113	36.3
6. Tennessee Tech	8	47	130	36.2
7. Tennessee State	8	41	121	33.9
8. UT Martin	8	37	111	33.3
9. Austin Peay	8	34	125	27.2

OPP 3RD-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Tennessee State	8	25	109	22.9
2. Eastern Illinois	8	40	131	30.5
3. UT Martin	8	39	126	31.0
4. Tennessee Tech	8	42	120	35.0
5. Jacksonville State	8	49	131	37.4
6. Eastern Kentucky	8	48	126	38.1
7. Southeast Missouri	8	48	109	44.0
8. Austin Peay	8	42	95	44.2
9. Murray State	8	68	153	44.4

4TH-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Jacksonville State	8	14	21	66.7
2. Eastern Illinois	8	19	30	63.3
3. Tennessee State	8	5	9	55.6
4. Tennessee Tech	8	10	19	52.6
Southeast Missouri	8	10	19	52.6
6. Murray State	8	7	14	50.0
7. UT Martin	8	11	23	47.8
8. Austin Peay	8	8	17	47.1
9. Eastern Kentucky	8	4	15	26.7

OPP 4TH-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Eastern Illinois	8	6	22	27.3
2. UT Martin	8	7	18	38.9
3. Austin Peay	8	5	12	41.7
4. Tennessee State	8	10	22	45.5
5. Jacksonville State	8	10	19	52.6
6. Eastern Kentucky	8	9	16	56.2
7. Tennessee Tech	8	13	20	65.0
8. Southeast Missouri	8	13	19	68.4
9. Murray State	8	15	19	78.9

PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	8	39	347	43.4
2. Tennessee Tech	8	57	390	48.8
3. Austin Peay	8	50	423	52.9
4. Murray State	8	48	432	54.0
5. Eastern Kentucky	8	61	486	60.8
6. Jacksonville State	8	54	515	64.4
7. Eastern Illinois	8	76	553	69.1
8. Tennessee State	8	69	598	74.8
9. UT Martin	8	70	614	76.8

OPPONENT PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Tennessee Tech	8	70	632	79.0
2. Eastern Illinois	8	70	561	70.1
3. Tennessee State	8	65	516	64.5
4. Eastern Kentucky	8	59	513	64.1
5. UT Martin	8	59	471	58.9
6. Jacksonville State	8	60	468	58.5
7. Southeast Missouri	8	46	425	53.1
8. Austin Peay	8	53	414	51.8
9. Murray State	8	42	358	44.8

TIME OF POSSESSION	G	Total possession	Average/game
1. Austin Peay	8	264:15	33:01
2. Tennessee State	8	259:09	32:23
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	254:48	31:51
4. UT Martin	8	253:51	31:43
5. Tennessee Tech	8	243:58	30:29
6. Southeast Missouri	8	234:01	29:15
7. Eastern Illinois	8	229:03	28:37
8. Jacksonville State	8	220:29	27:33
9. Murray State	8	200:26	25:03

TURNOVER MARGIN		Gained			Lost			Margin	Per/G
		Fum	Int	Tot	Fum	Int	Tot		
1. Eastern Illinois	8	16	5	21	7	6	13	+8	1.00
2. Tennessee State	8	6	10	16	10	2	12	+4	0.50
3. Tennessee Tech	8	9	8	17	6	8	14	+3	0.38
4. Southeast Missouri	8	5	8	13	5	7	12	+1	0.12
5. Eastern Kentucky	8	12	5	17	9	8	17	+0	0.00
6. Jacksonville State	8	4	6	10	5	6	11	-1	-0.12
7. Murray State	8	8	8	16	7	12	19	-3	-0.38
Austin Peay	8	6	4	10	6	7	13	-3	-0.38
9. UT Martin	8	4	8	12	15	6	21	-9	-1.12

RED ZONE OFFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. Jacksonville State	8	31-33	93.9	25	21-4	6-6	2
2. UT Martin	8	21-25	84.0	18	10-8	3-5	1
3. Tennessee Tech	8	23-28	82.1	20	14-6	3-5	0
4. Eastern Illinois	8	32-39	82.1	30	19-11	2-8	0
5. Eastern Kentucky	8	22-27	81.5	17	13-4	5-5	2
6. Southeast Missouri	8	20-26	76.9	17	10-7	3-5	3
7. Murray State	8	25-34	73.5	14	8-6	11-14	4
8. Tennessee State	8	19-26	73.1	15	4-11	4-8	0
9. Austin Peay	8	12-19	63.2	6	4-2	6-8	3

**2013 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Nov 23, 2013
(Conference games)**

RED ZONE DEFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. UT Martin	8	17-25	68.0	13	8-5	4-10	1
2. Eastern Illinois	8	15-22	68.2	14	3-11	1-4	1
3. Eastern Kentucky	8	19-26	73.1	14	8-6	5-8	3
4. Jacksonville State	8	25-34	73.5	18	9-9	7-10	1
5. Murray State	8	28-36	77.8	22	14-8	6-9	2
6. Tennessee Tech	8	20-24	83.3	16	10-6	4-5	3
7. Tennessee State	8	16-18	88.9	11	6-5	5-6	0
8. Southeast Missouri	8	34-38	89.5	26	22-4	8-9	3
9. Austin Peay	8	31-34	91.2	28	23-5	3-3	1

ON-SIDE KICKS BY	G	Recovered	Attempts	Success%
1. Tennessee State	8	2	2	100.0
Austin Peay	8	1	1	100.0
3. Tennessee Tech	8	0	2	0.0
Southeast Missouri	8	0	1	0.0
UT Martin	8	0	1	0.0
Jacksonville State	8	0	0	0.0
Eastern Kentucky	8	0	0	0.0
Eastern Illinois	8	0	0	0.0
Murray State	8	0	0	0.0

ON-SIDE KICKS AGAINST	G	Recovered	Attempts	Success%
1. Jacksonville State	8	0	1	0.0
Austin Peay	8	0	0	0.0
Eastern Illinois	8	0	0	0.0
Eastern Kentucky	8	0	0	0.0
UT Martin	8	0	0	0.0
Murray State	8	0	0	0.0
7. Tennessee State	8	1	3	33.3
8. Tennessee Tech	8	1	2	50.0
9. Southeast Missouri	8	1	1	100.0

Attend Avg	Home	Away	Neutral	Total
Austin Peay	4-4948	4-5904	0-0	8-5426
Eastern Illinois	4-9168	4-8486	0-0	8-8827
Eastern Kentucky	4-6250	4-8954	0-0	8-7602
Jacksonville State	4-15819	4-6159	0-0	8-10989
Murray State	4-4297	4-7434	0-0	8-5865
Southeast Missouri	4-4894	4-9034	0-0	8-6964
Tennessee State	4-10300	4-9750	0-0	8-10025
Tennessee Tech	4-7582	4-5217	0-0	8-6400
UT Martin	4-4283	4-6602	0-0	8-5443
Totals	36-7505	36-7505	0-0	72-7505