

2022 Ohio Valley Conference Team Statistics Through games of Nov 29, 2022

SCORING OFFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Lindenwood	10	53	11	44	0	0	0	395	39.5
2. Southeast Missouri	12	54	16	53	1	0	0	427	35.6
3. UT Martin	11	51	9	49	0	0	0	382	34.7
4. Tennessee Tech	11	34	10	32	0	0	0	266	24.2
5. Eastern Illinois	11	30	11	28	2	0	0	245	22.3
6. Tennessee State	11	23	15	18	0	0	0	201	18.3
7. Murray State	11	21	8	15	0	0	1	167	15.2

PASS DEFENSE	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	11	201-329-9	61.1	2091	6.4	16	190.1
2. Tennessee Tech	11	205-336-2	61.0	2653	7.9	23	241.2
3. Lindenwood	10	205-342-4	59.9	2449	7.2	16	244.9
4. Murray State	11	221-366-8	60.4	2724	7.4	18	247.6
5. Southeast Missouri	12	248-429-11	57.8	3051	7.1	21	254.2
6. Eastern Illinois	11	237-370-13	64.1	2798	7.6	20	254.4
7. UT Martin	11	211-366-17	57.7	3094	8.5	30	281.3

SCORING DEFENSE	G	TD	FG	XPT	2XP	DXP	Saf	Pts	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	12	34	12	29	1	0	0	271	22.6
2. Tennessee State	11	36	7	31	2	0	0	272	24.7
3. UT Martin	11	44	9	38	0	0	1	331	30.1
4. Eastern Illinois	11	43	15	40	0	0	0	343	31.2
5. Lindenwood	10	42	10	38	1	0	0	322	32.2
6. Tennessee Tech	11	45	16	38	0	0	0	356	32.4
7. Murray State	11	52	14	49	1	0	0	405	36.8

PASS EFFICIENCY	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. Lindenwood	10	245-374-9	65.5	3262	326.2	31	161.3
2. Southeast Missouri	12	233-389-7	59.9	2911	242.6	19	135.3
3. Tennessee Tech	11	209-339-12	61.7	2418	219.8	20	134.0
4. UT Martin	11	236-391-11	60.4	2965	269.5	18	133.6
5. Tennessee State	11	173-318-8	54.4	1996	181.5	10	112.5
6. Eastern Illinois	11	140-272-15	51.5	1609	146.3	16	109.5
7. Murray State	11	144-312-15	46.2	1844	167.6	12	98.9

TOTAL OFFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Lindenwood	10	1829	3262	746	5091	6.8	50	509.1
2. Southeast Missouri	12	2707	2911	833	5618	6.7	48	468.2
3. UT Martin	11	1905	2965	738	4870	6.6	47	442.7
4. Tennessee Tech	11	1913	2418	743	4331	5.8	34	393.7
5. Tennessee State	11	1459	1996	737	3455	4.7	20	314.1
6. Murray State	11	1434	1844	712	3278	4.6	21	298.0
7. Eastern Illinois	11	1642	1609	699	3251	4.7	28	295.5

PASS DEFENSE EFFIC.	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/G	TD	Effic.
1. Tennessee State	11	201-329-9	61.1	2091	190.1	16	125.1
2. Southeast Missouri	12	248-429-11	57.8	3051	254.2	21	128.6
3. Lindenwood	10	205-342-4	59.9	2449	244.9	16	133.2
4. Murray State	11	221-366-8	60.4	2724	247.6	18	134.8
5. Eastern Illinois	11	237-370-13	64.1	2798	254.4	20	138.4
6. UT Martin	11	211-366-17	57.7	3094	281.3	30	146.4
7. Tennessee Tech	11	205-336-2	61.0	2653	241.2	23	148.7

TOTAL DEFENSE	G	Rush	Pass	Plays	Yards	Avg/P	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	12	1282	3051	814	4333	5.3	31	361.1
2. Tennessee State	11	1907	2091	750	3998	5.3	32	363.5
3. UT Martin	11	1379	3094	778	4473	5.7	43	406.6
4. Eastern Illinois	11	1704	2798	758	4502	5.9	41	409.3
5. Tennessee Tech	11	2116	2653	763	4769	6.3	42	433.5
6. Murray State	11	2369	2724	782	5093	6.5	48	463.0
7. Lindenwood	10	2242	2449	711	4691	6.6	39	469.1

KICKOFF RETURNS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Southeast Missouri	12	33	768	2	97	23.3
2. UT Martin	11	21	459	0	53	21.9
3. Eastern Illinois	11	38	810	0	80	21.3
4. Tennessee State	11	40	816	0	37	20.4
5. Tennessee Tech	11	27	506	0	46	18.7
6. Lindenwood	10	23	428	0	46	18.6
7. Murray State	11	29	421	0	31	14.5

RUSHING OFFENSE	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	12	444	2707	6.1	84	29	225.6
2. Lindenwood	10	372	1829	4.9	63	19	182.9
3. Tennessee Tech	11	404	1913	4.7	71	14	173.9
4. UT Martin	11	347	1905	5.5	97	29	173.2
5. Eastern Illinois	11	427	1642	3.8	43	12	149.3
6. Tennessee State	11	419	1459	3.5	50	10	132.6
7. Murray State	11	400	1434	3.6	46	9	130.4

PUNT RETURN AVG	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. Lindenwood	10	12	151	1	43	12.6
2. Eastern Illinois	11	9	107	0	55	11.9
3. Murray State	11	16	149	0	27	9.3
4. Southeast Missouri	12	19	169	1	29	8.9
5. UT Martin	11	15	101	0	15	6.7
6. Tennessee State	11	13	61	0	16	4.7
7. Tennessee Tech	11	16	44	0	20	2.8

RUSHING DEFENSE	G	Att.	Yards	Avg/A	Long	TD	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	12	385	1282	3.3	42	10	106.8
2. UT Martin	11	412	1379	3.3	47	13	125.4
3. Eastern Illinois	11	388	1704	4.4	54	21	154.9
4. Tennessee State	11	421	1907	4.5	85	16	173.4
5. Tennessee Tech	11	427	2116	5.0	86	19	192.4
6. Murray State	11	416	2369	5.7	83	30	215.4
7. Lindenwood	10	369	2242	6.1	97	23	224.2

INTERCEPTIONS	G	No.	Yards	TD	Long	Avg.
1. UT Martin	11	17	220	3	44	12.9
2. Eastern Illinois	11	13	65	0	20	5.0
3. Southeast Missouri	12	11	163	1	49	14.8
4. Tennessee State	11	9	171	2	52	19.0
5. Murray State	11	8	54	0	45	6.8
6. Lindenwood	10	4	99	1	99	24.8
7. Tennessee Tech	11	2	2	0	1	1.0

PASS OFFENSE	G	Comp-Att-Int	Pct.	Yds	Avg/A	TD	Avg/G
1. Lindenwood	10	245-374-9	65.5	3262	8.7	31	326.2
2. UT Martin	11	236-391-11	60.4	2965	7.6	18	269.5
3. Southeast Missouri	12	233-389-7	59.9	2911	7.5	19	242.6
4. Tennessee Tech	11	209-339-12	61.7	2418	7.1	20	219.8
5. Tennessee State	11	173-318-8	54.4	1996	6.3	10	181.5
6. Murray State	11	144-312-15	46.2	1844	5.9	12	167.6
7. Eastern Illinois	11	140-272-15	51.5	1609	5.9	16	146.3

PUNTING	G	No.	Yards	Avg/P	Ret.	Avg/R	TB	Net/P
1. Eastern Illinois	11	53	2330	44.0	114	2.2	5	39.9
2. UT Martin	11	52	2234	43.0	152	2.9	5	38.1
3. Lindenwood	10	28	1111	39.7	65	2.3	0	37.4
4. Tennessee Tech	11	52	1979	38.1	32	0.6	1	37.1
5. Murray State	11	78	3053	39.1	217	2.8	7	34.6
6. Southeast Missouri	12	43	1612	37.5	130	3.0	2	33.5
7. Tennessee State	11	64	2449	38.3	296	4.6	6	31.8

2022 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Nov 29, 2022

KICKOFF COVERAGE	G	No.	Yards	Avg.	Ret.	TB	NetAvg
1. Tennessee Tech	11	52	3073	59.1	538	17	40.6
2. Eastern Illinois	11	48	2613	54.4	403	11	40.3
3. Lindenwood	10	70	4056	57.9	637	30	38.1
4. UT Martin	11	71	4053	57.1	724	25	38.1
5. Tennessee State	11	47	2679	57.0	682	9	37.7
6. Murray State	11	39	2166	55.5	355	17	35.5
7. Southeast Missouri	12	80	4263	53.3	1098	15	34.9

FIELD GOALS	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Eastern Illinois	11	11	13	.846
2. Lindenwood	10	11	14	.786
3. Tennessee State	11	15	21	.714
Tennessee Tech	11	10	14	.714
5. Southeast Missouri	12	16	24	.667
6. Murray State	11	8	13	.615
7. UT Martin	11	9	15	.600

PAT KICKING	G	Made	Att	Pct.
1. Southeast Missouri	12	53	53	1.000
Tennessee Tech	11	32	32	1.000
Eastern Illinois	11	28	28	1.000
4. UT Martin	11	49	50	.980
5. Lindenwood	10	44	47	.936
6. Murray State	11	15	17	.882
7. Tennessee State	11	18	21	.857

SACKS BY	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. UT Martin	11	30	206	2.73
2. Southeast Missouri	12	28	145	2.33
3. Tennessee Tech	11	25	161	2.27
Tennessee State	11	25	143	2.27
5. Murray State	11	17	117	1.55
6. Eastern Illinois	11	16	93	1.45
7. Lindenwood	10	13	100	1.30

SACKS AGAINST	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. UT Martin	11	10	78	0.91
2. Southeast Missouri	12	11	82	0.92
3. Tennessee Tech	11	14	68	1.27
4. Murray State	11	18	99	1.64
5. Eastern Illinois	11	33	232	3.00
6. Lindenwood	10	34	192	3.40
7. Tennessee State	11	38	285	3.45

FIRST DOWNS	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	12	129	136	14	279	23.2
Lindenwood	10	101	160	18	279	27.9
3. UT Martin	11	86	125	22	233	21.2
4. Tennessee Tech	11	100	103	14	217	19.7
5. Eastern Illinois	11	93	75	20	188	17.1
6. Tennessee State	11	83	86	15	184	16.7
7. Murray State	11	67	75	17	159	14.5

OPPONENT 1ST DOWNS	G	Rush	Pass	Pen	Total	Avg/G
1. UT Martin	11	80	104	22	206	18.7
2. Tennessee State	11	90	103	19	212	19.3
3. Lindenwood	10	108	103	6	217	21.7
4. Eastern Illinois	11	85	138	14	237	21.5
5. Murray State	11	103	118	19	240	21.8
6. Tennessee Tech	11	110	122	13	245	22.3
7. Southeast Missouri	12	89	136	21	246	20.5

3RD-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Lindenwood	10	64	127	50.4
2. Southeast Missouri	12	65	153	42.5
3. Eastern Illinois	11	54	149	36.2
4. Tennessee State	11	55	165	33.3
5. Tennessee Tech	11	49	150	32.7
6. UT Martin	11	39	130	30.0
7. Murray State	11	43	158	27.2

OPP 3RD-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Tennessee State	11	54	151	35.8
2. Lindenwood	10	48	132	36.4
3. Murray State	11	58	152	38.2
4. Southeast Missouri	12	66	166	39.8
5. UT Martin	11	71	172	41.3
6. Eastern Illinois	11	65	150	43.3
7. Tennessee Tech	11	72	155	46.5

4TH-DOWN CONVERSIONS	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Southeast Missouri	12	11	17	64.7
2. Tennessee Tech	11	18	29	62.1
3. Lindenwood	10	8	13	61.5
4. Tennessee State	11	9	20	45.0
5. Eastern Illinois	11	7	22	31.8
6. UT Martin	11	5	16	31.2
7. Murray State	11	3	17	17.6

OPP 4TH-DN CONVERT	G	Conv.	Att.	Pct.
1. Murray State	11	6	21	28.6
2. Tennessee Tech	11	8	20	40.0
3. Tennessee State	11	10	24	41.7
4. Southeast Missouri	12	12	24	50.0
5. Lindenwood	10	17	32	53.1
6. UT Martin	11	14	26	53.8
7. Eastern Illinois	11	8	11	72.7

PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Lindenwood	10	36	321	32.1
2. Eastern Illinois	11	49	420	38.2
3. Murray State	11	56	503	45.7
4. Tennessee Tech	11	66	511	46.5
5. Southeast Missouri	12	63	664	55.3
6. UT Martin	11	70	619	56.3
7. Tennessee State	11	86	695	63.2

OPPONENT PENALTIES	G	No.	Yards	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	11	70	623	56.6
2. Eastern Illinois	11	68	607	55.2
3. Murray State	11	67	599	54.5
UT Martin	11	67	599	54.5
5. Tennessee Tech	11	58	538	48.9
6. Lindenwood	10	50	478	47.8
7. Southeast Missouri	12	56	525	43.8

TIME OF POSSESSION	G	Total possession	Avg/G
1. Lindenwood	10	313:50	31:23
2. Southeast Missouri	12	371:11	30:55
3. Tennessee Tech	11	338:59	30:49
4. Murray State	11	332:40	30:14
5. UT Martin	11	320:42	29:09
6. Eastern Illinois	11	317:49	28:53
7. Tennessee State	11	314:46	28:36

2022 Ohio Valley Conference
Team Statistics Through games of Nov 29, 2022

TURNOVER MARGIN		Gained			Lost			Margin	Per/G
		Fum	Int	Tot	Fum	Int	Tot		
1. UT Martin	11	10	17	27	5	11	16	+11	1.00
2. Tennessee State	11	11	9	20	5	8	13	+7	0.64
3. Southeast Missouri	12	5	11	16	3	7	10	+6	0.50
4. Eastern Illinois	11	7	13	20	9	15	24	-4	-0.36
5. Lindenwood	10	9	4	13	8	9	17	-4	-0.40
6. Tennessee Tech	11	9	2	11	7	12	19	-8	-0.73
7. Murray State	11	3	8	11	6	15	21	-10	-0.91

RED ZONE OFFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. Lindenwood	10	40-47	85.1	34	17-17	6-8	3
2. Eastern Illinois	11	27-32	84.4	18	9-9	9-10	1
3. Southeast Missouri	12	47-58	81.0	35	18-17	12-17	2
4. UT Martin	11	38-47	80.9	29	19-10	9-13	5
5. Tennessee Tech	11	31-41	75.6	26	12-14	5-7	1
6. Tennessee State	11	20-29	69.0	10	6-4	10-15	1
7. Murray State	11	17-25	68.0	13	7-6	4-5	2

RED ZONE DEFENSE	G	Scores	Pct.	TDs	(R-P)	FGs	TurnO
1. Tennessee State	11	29-44	65.9	24	12-12	5-9	3
2. Southeast Missouri	12	33-45	73.3	22	9-13	11-13	4
3. Murray State	11	37-47	78.7	26	18-8	11-14	4
4. Lindenwood	10	30-38	78.9	23	15-8	7-9	4
5. UT Martin	11	30-36	83.3	23	13-10	7-9	1
6. Eastern Illinois	11	37-44	84.1	29	18-11	8-11	1
7. Tennessee Tech	11	40-45	88.9	25	13-12	15-19	0

ON-SIDE KICKS BY	G	Recovered	Attempts	Success%
1. Southeast Missouri	12	2	2	100.0
Murray State	11	1	1	100.0
Tennessee Tech	11	1	1	100.0
Eastern Illinois	11	1	1	100.0
5. Lindenwood	10	0	2	0.0
UT Martin	11	0	0	0.0
Tennessee State	11	0	0	0.0

ON-SIDE KICKS AGAINST	G	Recovered	Attempts	Success%
1. UT Martin	11	0	2	0.0
Tennessee Tech	11	0	1	0.0
Southeast Missouri	12	0	0	0.0
Murray State	11	0	0	0.0
Lindenwood	10	0	0	0.0
6. Tennessee State	11	2	2	100.0
Eastern Illinois	11	1	1	100.0

ATTENDANCE	Home	Away	Neutral	Total
Eastern Illinois	5-4848	6-6404	0-0	11-5697
Lindenwood	5-3729	5-5432	0-0	10-4581
Murray State	5-8735	6-5085	0-0	11-6744
Southeast Missouri	5-2226	7-12585	0-0	12-8269
Tennessee State	5-9811	5-10239	1-51351	11-13782
Tennessee Tech	5-6911	6-10844	0-0	11-9056
UT Martin	5-4473	6-26413	0-0	11-16440
Totals	35-5819	41-11193	1-51351	77-9272