

**2020-21 Ohio Valley Conference
CONFERENCE BASKETBALL STATISTICS
Through games of Jun 29, 2021 (All games)**

SCORING OFFENSE	G	W-L	Pts	Avg/G
1. Murray State	27	16-11	1885	69.8
2. Belmont	27	21-6	1873	69.4
3. UT Martin	28	22-6	1926	68.8
4. Tennessee Tech	25	15-10	1680	67.2
5. Southeast Missouri	26	15-11	1697	65.3
6. Eastern Illinois	26	11-15	1686	64.8
7. Jacksonville State	24	15-9	1546	64.4
8. Austin Peay	23	12-11	1469	63.9
9. Eastern Kentucky	24	9-15	1493	62.2
10. Morehead State	23	7-16	1341	58.3
11. SIUE	25	6-19	1408	56.3
12. Tennessee State	22	0-22	1107	50.3

SCORING DEFENSE	G	Pts	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	24	1404	58.5
2. Belmont	27	1582	58.6
3. Austin Peay	23	1393	60.6
4. UT Martin	28	1700	60.7
5. Southeast Missouri	26	1593	61.3
6. Tennessee Tech	25	1556	62.2
7. Morehead State	23	1510	65.7
8. SIUE	25	1657	66.3
9. Eastern Illinois	26	1736	66.8
10. Murray State	27	1826	67.6
11. Eastern Kentucky	24	1706	71.1
12. Tennessee State	22	1572	71.5

SCORING MARGIN	G	Offense	Defense	Margin
1. Belmont	27	69.4	58.6	+10.8
2. UT Martin	28	68.8	60.7	+8.1
3. Jacksonville State	24	64.4	58.5	+5.9
4. Tennessee Tech	25	67.2	62.2	+5.0
5. Southeast Missouri	26	65.3	61.3	+4.0
6. Austin Peay	23	63.9	60.6	+3.3
7. Murray State	27	69.8	67.6	+2.2
8. Eastern Illinois	26	64.8	66.8	-1.9
9. Morehead State	23	58.3	65.7	-7.3
10. Eastern Kentucky	24	62.2	71.1	-8.9
11. SIUE	25	56.3	66.3	-10.0
12. Tennessee State	22	50.3	71.5	-21.1

FREE THROW PCT	G	FTM	FTA	Pct.
1. Murray State	27	423	551	.768
2. Eastern Illinois	26	309	404	.765
3. Eastern Kentucky	24	300	396	.758
4. Tennessee Tech	25	282	373	.756
5. UT Martin	28	361	479	.754
6. Morehead State	23	321	431	.745
7. Southeast Missouri	26	312	431	.724
8. Belmont	27	241	344	.701
9. Tennessee State	22	172	252	.683
10. Austin Peay	23	255	380	.671
11. Jacksonville State	24	241	375	.643
12. SIUE	25	248	431	.575

FIELD GOAL PERCENTAGE	G	FGM	FGA	Pct.
1. UT Martin	28	693	1501	.462
2. Belmont	27	702	1607	.437
3. Tennessee Tech	25	604	1404	.430
4. Eastern Illinois	26	613	1455	.421
5. Murray State	27	655	1578	.415
6. Southeast Missouri	26	632	1534	.412
7. Jacksonville State	24	567	1443	.393
8. Eastern Kentucky	24	525	1339	.392
9. Austin Peay	23	511	1314	.389
10. SIUE	25	530	1427	.371
11. Morehead State	23	463	1299	.356
12. Tennessee State	22	422	1222	.345

FIELD GOAL PCT DEFENSE	G	FGM	FGA	Pct.
1. Southeast Missouri	26	565	1524	.371
2. UT Martin	28	608	1594	.381
3. Jacksonville State	24	513	1342	.382
4. Belmont	27	569	1435	.397
5. Austin Peay	23	480	1177	.408
6. Eastern Kentucky	24	618	1510	.409
7. Murray State	27	702	1702	.412
8. Morehead State	23	544	1309	.416
9. SIUE	25	610	1447	.422
10. Tennessee Tech	25	587	1361	.431
11. Tennessee State	22	558	1278	.437
12. Eastern Illinois	26	610	1396	.437

3-POINT FG PCT	G	3FGM	3FGA	Pct.
1. UT Martin	28	179	479	.374
2. Belmont	27	228	671	.340
3. Eastern Kentucky	24	143	441	.324
4. Tennessee Tech	25	190	589	.323
5. Murray State	27	152	486	.313
6. Jacksonville State	24	171	551	.310
7. Southeast Missouri	26	121	390	.310
8. Austin Peay	23	192	635	.302
9. Eastern Illinois	26	151	500	.302
10. Morehead State	23	94	347	.271
11. SIUE	25	100	380	.263
12. Tennessee State	22	91	398	.229

3-POINT FG PCT DEFENSE	G	3FGM	3FGA	Pct.
1. Jacksonville State	24	105	388	.271
2. Eastern Kentucky	24	158	582	.271
3. Southeast Missouri	26	142	512	.277
4. Morehead State	23	138	485	.285
5. Belmont	27	144	498	.289
6. Austin Peay	23	98	331	.296
7. UT Martin	28	182	591	.308
8. Murray State	27	155	495	.313
9. Tennessee Tech	25	131	393	.333
10. SIUE	25	168	501	.335
11. Tennessee State	22	192	545	.352
12. Eastern Illinois	26	191	522	.366

**2020-21 Ohio Valley Conference
CONFERENCE BASKETBALL STATISTICS
Through games of Jun 29, 2021 (All games)**

REBOUNDING OFFENSE	G	Rebounds	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	26	1036	39.8
2. Jacksonville State	24	942	39.2
3. Murray State	27	1034	38.3
4. SIUE	25	949	38.0
5. Morehead State	23	850	37.0
6. Austin Peay	23	834	36.3
7. Eastern Kentucky	24	855	35.6
8. Tennessee Tech	25	874	35.0
9. Belmont	27	920	34.1
10. UT Martin	28	948	33.9
11. Eastern Illinois	26	829	31.9
12. Tennessee State	22	656	29.8

REBOUNDING DEFENSE	G	Rebounds	Avg/G
1. Tennessee Tech	25	786	31.4
2. Austin Peay	23	782	34.0
3. UT Martin	28	957	34.2
4. Eastern Illinois	26	900	34.6
5. Jacksonville State	24	858	35.8
6. Southeast Missouri	26	935	36.0
7. Belmont	27	973	36.0
8. Morehead State	23	849	36.9
9. Murray State	27	1003	37.1
10. SIUE	25	942	37.7
11. Eastern Kentucky	24	910	37.9
12. Tennessee State	22	930	42.3

REBOUNDING MARGIN	G	Team	Avg.	Opp.	Avg. Margin
1. Southeast Missouri	26	1036	39.8	935	36.0 +3.9
2. Tennessee Tech	25	874	35.0	786	31.4 +3.5
3. Jacksonville State	24	942	39.2	858	35.8 +3.5
4. Austin Peay	23	834	36.3	782	34.0 +2.3
5. Murray State	27	1034	38.3	1003	37.1 +1.1
6. SIUE	25	949	38.0	942	37.7 +0.3
7. Morehead State	23	850	37.0	849	36.9 +0.0
8. UT Martin	28	948	33.9	957	34.2 -0.3
9. Belmont	27	920	34.1	973	36.0 -2.0
10. Eastern Kentucky	24	855	35.6	910	37.9 -2.3
11. Eastern Illinois	26	829	31.9	900	34.6 -2.7
12. Tennessee State	22	656	29.8	930	42.3 -12.5

BLOCKED SHOTS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. Morehead State	23	157	6.8
2. Southeast Missouri	26	147	5.7
3. UT Martin	28	117	4.2
4. Tennessee Tech	25	78	3.1
5. Murray State	27	76	2.8
6. SIUE	25	70	2.8
7. Belmont	27	75	2.8
8. Austin Peay	23	61	2.7
9. Eastern Kentucky	24	60	2.5
10. Tennessee State	22	51	2.3
11. Eastern Illinois	26	50	1.9
12. Jacksonville State	24	44	1.8

ASSISTS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. UT Martin	28	453	16.2
2. Belmont	27	414	15.3
3. Tennessee Tech	25	359	14.4
4. Eastern Illinois	26	370	14.2
5. Southeast Missouri	26	345	13.3
6. SIUE	25	315	12.6
7. Austin Peay	23	288	12.5
8. Murray State	27	321	11.9
9. Eastern Kentucky	24	285	11.9
10. Jacksonville State	24	268	11.2
11. Tennessee State	22	229	10.4
12. Morehead State	23	223	9.7

STEALS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. Belmont	27	286	10.6
2. Eastern Illinois	26	231	8.9
3. Austin Peay	23	195	8.5
4. SIUE	25	202	8.1
5. Southeast Missouri	26	201	7.7
6. Morehead State	23	170	7.4
7. Tennessee State	22	161	7.3
8. UT Martin	28	202	7.2
9. Tennessee Tech	25	175	7.0
10. Eastern Kentucky	24	163	6.8
11. Murray State	27	168	6.2
12. Jacksonville State	24	133	5.5

TURNOVER MARGIN	G	Team	Avg.	Opp.	Avg. Margin
1. Belmont	27	364	13.5	491	18.2 +4.7
2. Eastern Illinois	26	393	15.1	441	17.0 +1.8
3. Austin Peay	23	374	16.3	402	17.5 +1.2
4. Jacksonville State	24	313	13.0	342	14.3 +1.2
5. UT Martin	28	401	14.3	425	15.2 +0.9
6. Southeast Missouri	26	391	15.0	391	15.0 +0.0
7. Tennessee Tech	25	381	15.2	376	15.0 -0.2
8. Tennessee State	22	405	18.4	379	17.2 -1.2
9. Morehead State	23	399	17.3	371	16.1 -1.2
10. Murray State	27	403	14.9	361	13.4 -1.6
11. SIUE	25	475	19.0	429	17.2 -1.8
12. Eastern Kentucky	24	430	17.9	329	13.7 -4.2

ASSIST/TURNOVER RATIO	G	Ast.	Avg.	T/O	Avg.	Ratio
1. Belmont	27	414	15.3	364	13.5	1.1
2. UT Martin	28	453	16.2	401	14.3	1.1
3. Tennessee Tech	25	359	14.4	381	15.2	0.9
4. Eastern Illinois	26	370	14.2	393	15.1	0.9
5. Southeast Missouri	26	345	13.3	391	15.0	0.9
6. Jacksonville State	24	268	11.2	313	13.0	0.9
7. Murray State	27	321	11.9	403	14.9	0.8
8. Austin Peay	23	288	12.5	374	16.3	0.8
9. SIUE	25	315	12.6	475	19.0	0.7
10. Eastern Kentucky	24	285	11.9	430	17.9	0.7
11. Tennessee State	22	229	10.4	405	18.4	0.6
12. Morehead State	23	223	9.7	399	17.3	0.6

**2020-21 Ohio Valley Conference
CONFERENCE BASKETBALL STATISTICS
Through games of Jun 29, 2021 (All games)**

OFFENSIVE REBOUNDS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. Southeast Missouri	26	368	14.2
2. SIUE	25	338	13.5
3. Morehead State	23	290	12.6
4. Austin Peay	23	286	12.4
5. Murray State	27	324	12.0
6. Belmont	27	315	11.7
7. Jacksonville State	24	278	11.6
8. Tennessee Tech	25	286	11.4
9. Eastern Kentucky	24	255	10.6
10. Eastern Illinois	26	263	10.1
11. Tennessee State	22	205	9.3
12. UT Martin	28	226	8.1

DEFENSIVE REBOUNDS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. Jacksonville State	24	664	27.7
2. Murray State	27	710	26.3
3. UT Martin	28	722	25.8
4. Southeast Missouri	26	668	25.7
5. Eastern Kentucky	24	600	25.0
6. SIUE	25	611	24.4
7. Morehead State	23	560	24.3
8. Austin Peay	23	548	23.8
9. Tennessee Tech	25	588	23.5
10. Belmont	27	605	22.4
11. Eastern Illinois	26	566	21.8
12. Tennessee State	22	451	20.5

DEFENSIVE REB PCT.	G	D-Reb	Opp. OR	D-Reb %
1. Jacksonville State	24	664	203	.766
2. Austin Peay	23	548	212	.721
3. Tennessee Tech	25	588	238	.712
4. UT Martin	28	722	317	.695
5. Morehead State	23	560	261	.682
6. SIUE	25	611	286	.681
7. Murray State	27	710	337	.678
8. Eastern Illinois	26	566	281	.668
9. Southeast Missouri	26	668	348	.657
10. Eastern Kentucky	24	600	317	.654
11. Belmont	27	605	333	.645
12. Tennessee State	22	451	309	.593

OFFENSIVE REB PCT.	G	O-Reb	Opp. DR	O-Reb %
1. Southeast Missouri	26	368	587	.385
2. Tennessee Tech	25	286	548	.343
3. SIUE	25	338	656	.340
4. Austin Peay	23	286	570	.334
5. Morehead State	23	290	588	.330
6. Belmont	27	315	640	.330
7. Murray State	27	324	666	.327
8. Eastern Kentucky	24	255	593	.301
9. Eastern Illinois	26	263	619	.298
10. Jacksonville State	24	278	655	.298
11. UT Martin	28	226	640	.261
12. Tennessee State	22	205	621	.248

3-POINT FG MADE	G	No.	Avg/G
1. Belmont	27	228	8.4
2. Austin Peay	23	192	8.3
3. Tennessee Tech	25	190	7.6
4. Jacksonville State	24	171	7.1
5. UT Martin	28	179	6.4
6. Eastern Kentucky	24	143	6.0
7. Eastern Illinois	26	151	5.8
8. Murray State	27	152	5.6
9. Southeast Missouri	26	121	4.7
10. Tennessee State	22	91	4.1
11. Morehead State	23	94	4.1
12. SIUE	25	100	4.0

**2020-21 Ohio Valley Conference
CONFERENCE BASKETBALL STATISTICS
Through games of Jun 29, 2021 (All games)**

Team	Conference	Pct.	PF	PA	Overall	Pct.	PF	PA	Current unbeaten streak
UT Martin	17-2	.895	70.6	56.4	22-6	.786	68.8	60.7	UT Martin -- 2
Belmont	14-3	.824	72.2	57.0	21-6	.778	69.4	58.6	Eastern Kentucky -- 1
Southeast Missouri	13-7	.650	66.9	61.0	15-11	.577	65.3	61.3	
Jacksonville State	12-7	.632	64.7	57.8	15-9	.625	64.4	58.5	
Tennessee Tech	12-8	.600	67.0	61.2	15-10	.600	67.2	62.2	
Murray State	12-8	.600	68.3	66.2	16-11	.593	69.8	67.6	
Austin Peay	10-8	.556	62.4	58.2	12-11	.522	63.9	60.6	
Eastern Illinois	9-11	.450	62.8	63.8	11-15	.423	64.8	66.8	
Eastern Kentucky	8-12	.400	63.6	69.1	9-15	.375	62.2	71.1	
Morehead State	6-13	.316	56.6	64.3	7-16	.304	58.3	65.7	
SIUE	3-17	.150	53.2	67.9	6-19	.240	56.3	66.3	
Tennessee State	0-20	.000	48.2	70.2	0-22	.000	50.3	71.5	

TEAM SUMMARIES	G	PF-PA	Avg score	Margin	FG-FGA	Pct.	3FG-FGA	Pct.	FT-FTA	Pct.	RebF	RebA	Margin
Austin Peay	23	1469-1393	63.9-60.6	+3.3	511-1314	.389	192-635	.302	255-380	.671	834	782	+2.3
Belmont	27	1873-1582	69.4-58.6	+10.8	702-1607	.437	228-671	.340	241-344	.701	920	973	-2.0
Eastern Illinois	26	1686-1736	64.8-66.8	-1.9	613-1455	.421	151-500	.302	309-404	.765	829	900	-2.7
Eastern Kentucky	24	1493-1706	62.2-71.1	-8.9	525-1339	.392	143-441	.324	300-396	.758	855	910	-2.3
Jacksonville State	24	1546-1404	64.4-58.5	+5.9	567-1443	.393	171-551	.310	241-375	.643	942	858	+3.5
Morehead State	23	1341-1510	58.3-65.7	-7.3	463-1299	.356	94-347	.271	321-431	.745	850	849	+0.0
Murray State	27	1885-1826	69.8-67.6	+2.2	655-1578	.415	152-486	.313	423-551	.768	1034	1003	+1.1
SIUE	25	1408-1657	56.3-66.3	-10.0	530-1427	.371	100-380	.263	248-431	.575	949	942	+0.3
Southeast Missouri	26	1697-1593	65.3-61.3	+4.0	632-1534	.412	121-390	.310	312-431	.724	1036	935	+3.9
Tennessee State	22	1107-1572	50.3-71.5	-21.1	422-1222	.345	91-398	.229	172-252	.683	656	930	-12.5
Tennessee Tech	25	1680-1556	67.2-62.2	+5.0	604-1404	.430	190-589	.323	282-373	.756	874	786	+3.5
UT Martin	28	1926-1700	68.8-60.7	+8.1	693-1501	.462	179-479	.374	361-479	.754	948	957	-0.3

ATTENDANCE	Home	Average	Away	Average	Neutral	Average	Total	Average
Austin Peay	11-2362	215	11-2132	194	1-174	174	23-4668	203
Belmont	10-1357	136	12-3694	308	5-794	159	27-5845	216
Eastern Illinois	13-130	10	12-3036	253	1-0	0	26-3166	122
Eastern Kentucky	10-2057	206	14-4917	351	0-0	0	24-6974	291
Jacksonville State	12-4205	350	11-3173	288	1-0	0	24-7378	307
Morehead State	12-3160	263	11-2132	194	0-0	0	23-5292	230
Murray State	12-2414	201	13-4213	324	2-549	274	27-7176	266
SIUE	13-200	15	12-2869	239	0-0	0	25-3069	123
Southeast Missouri	14-5214	372	11-1885	171	1-219	219	26-7318	281
Tennessee State	11-2001	182	11-2719	247	0-0	0	22-4720	215
Tennessee Tech	12-6211	518	11-2110	192	2-0	0	25-8321	333
UT Martin	10-3135	314	12-7416	618	6-1190	198	28-11741	419
Totals	140-32446	232	141-40296	286	19-2926	154	300-75668	252