

2021-22 Ohio Valley Conference
CONFERENCE BASKETBALL STATISTICS
 Through games of Feb 26, 2022 (Conference games only)

SCORING OFFENSE	G	W-L	Pts	Avg/G
1. Belmont	18	16-2	1340	74.4
2. Murray State	18	13-5	1321	73.4
3. SIUE	18	8-10	1239	68.8
4. Eastern Illinois	18	11-7	1235	68.6
5. Tennessee Tech	18	14-4	1210	67.2
6. Austin Peay	18	11-7	1177	65.4
7. Tennessee State	18	6-12	1133	62.9
8. UT Martin	18	9-9	1067	59.3
9. Southeast Missouri	18	2-16	1043	57.9
10. Morehead State	18	0-18	974	54.1

SCORING DEFENSE	G	Pts	Avg/G
1. Belmont	18	965	53.6
2. UT Martin	18	1062	59.0
3. Tennessee Tech	18	1094	60.8
4. Austin Peay	18	1100	61.1
5. Murray State	18	1123	62.4
6. Eastern Illinois	18	1169	64.9
7. Tennessee State	18	1265	70.3
8. Southeast Missouri	18	1302	72.3
9. SIUE	18	1314	73.0
10. Morehead State	18	1345	74.7

SCORING MARGIN	G	Offense	Defense	Margin
1. Belmont	18	74.4	53.6	+20.8
2. Murray State	18	73.4	62.4	+11.0
3. Tennessee Tech	18	67.2	60.8	+6.4
4. Austin Peay	18	65.4	61.1	+4.3
5. Eastern Illinois	18	68.6	64.9	+3.7
6. UT Martin	18	59.3	59.0	+0.3
7. SIUE	18	68.8	73.0	-4.2
8. Tennessee State	18	62.9	70.3	-7.3
9. Southeast Missouri	18	57.9	72.3	-14.4
10. Morehead State	18	54.1	74.7	-20.6

FREE THROW PCT	G	FTM	FTA	Pct.
1. Murray State	18	291	361	.806
2. UT Martin	18	219	284	.771
3. Eastern Illinois	18	226	296	.764
4. Tennessee State	18	185	260	.712
5. Tennessee Tech	18	278	395	.704
6. SIUE	18	248	353	.703
7. Morehead State	18	156	223	.700
8. Belmont	18	203	293	.693
9. Southeast Missouri	18	185	276	.670
10. Austin Peay	18	231	349	.662

FIELD GOAL PERCENTAGE	G	FGM	FGA	Pct.
1. Murray State	18	467	960	.486
2. Belmont	18	488	1048	.466
3. Austin Peay	18	417	929	.449
4. Tennessee Tech	18	410	954	.430
5. Eastern Illinois	18	453	1061	.427
6. UT Martin	18	368	873	.422
7. Tennessee State	18	442	1062	.416
8. SIUE	18	450	1095	.411
9. Southeast Missouri	18	393	995	.395
10. Morehead State	18	356	993	.359

FIELD GOAL PCT DEFENSE	G	FGM	FGA	Pct.
1. Belmont	18	347	972	.357
2. Murray State	18	439	1096	.401
3. Austin Peay	18	380	936	.406
4. Eastern Illinois	18	408	1000	.408
5. UT Martin	18	378	893	.423
6. Tennessee Tech	18	428	981	.436
7. Southeast Missouri	18	464	1036	.448
8. Tennessee State	18	432	948	.456
9. Morehead State	18	486	1064	.457
10. SIUE	18	482	1044	.462

3-POINT FG PCT	G	3FGM	3FGA	Pct.
1. Austin Peay	18	112	296	.378
2. Murray State	18	96	260	.369
3. UT Martin	18	112	318	.352
4. Belmont	18	161	463	.348
5. Tennessee Tech	18	112	333	.336
6. Eastern Illinois	18	103	312	.330
7. SIUE	18	91	287	.317
8. Southeast Missouri	18	72	262	.275
9. Morehead State	18	106	388	.273
10. Tennessee State	18	64	244	.262

3-POINT FG PCT DEFENSE	G	3FGM	3FGA	Pct.
1. Tennessee Tech	18	69	242	.285
2. Eastern Illinois	18	120	420	.286
3. Belmont	18	85	276	.308
4. Southeast Missouri	18	101	322	.314
5. SIUE	18	102	320	.319
6. Austin Peay	18	102	320	.319
7. Murray State	18	108	324	.333
8. Morehead State	18	110	326	.337
9. UT Martin	18	123	329	.374
10. Tennessee State	18	109	284	.384

REBOUNDING OFFENSE	G	Rebounds	Avg/G
1. Murray State	18	677	37.6
2. Tennessee Tech	18	663	36.8
3. Belmont	18	656	36.4
4. Eastern Illinois	18	642	35.7
5. SIUE	18	634	35.2
6. Austin Peay	18	615	34.2
7. Southeast Missouri	18	570	31.7
8. Morehead State	18	554	30.8
9. Tennessee State	18	553	30.7
10. UT Martin	18	485	26.9

REBOUNDING DEFENSE	G	Rebounds	Avg/G
1. Murray State	18	510	28.3
2. Tennessee Tech	18	532	29.6
3. Austin Peay	18	552	30.7
4. Belmont	18	587	32.6
5. UT Martin	18	597	33.2
6. Eastern Illinois	18	603	33.5
7. SIUE	18	636	35.3
8. Southeast Missouri	18	646	35.9
9. Tennessee State	18	658	36.6
10. Morehead State	18	728	40.4

**2021-22 Ohio Valley Conference
CONFERENCE BASKETBALL STATISTICS
Through games of Feb 26, 2022 (Conference games only)**

REBOUNDING MARGIN	G	Team	Avg.	Opp.	Avg. Margin
1. Murray State	18	677	37.6	510	28.3 +9.3
2. Tennessee Tech	18	663	36.8	532	29.6 +7.3
3. Belmont	18	656	36.4	587	32.6 +3.8
4. Austin Peay	18	615	34.2	552	30.7 +3.5
5. Eastern Illinois	18	642	35.7	603	33.5 +2.2
6. SIUE	18	634	35.2	636	35.3 -0.1
7. Southeast Missouri	18	570	31.7	646	35.9 -4.2
8. Tennessee State	18	553	30.7	658	36.6 -5.8
9. UT Martin	18	485	26.9	597	33.2 -6.2
10. Morehead State	18	554	30.8	728	40.4 -9.7

BLOCKED SHOTS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. Belmont	18	88	4.9
2. Tennessee Tech	18	69	3.8
3. Morehead State	18	61	3.4
4. Tennessee State	18	57	3.2
5. SIUE	18	47	2.6
6. Southeast Missouri	18	45	2.5
7. Austin Peay	18	39	2.2
8. Murray State	18	33	1.8
9. UT Martin	18	32	1.8
10. Eastern Illinois	18	28	1.6

ASSISTS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. Belmont	18	318	17.7
2. Eastern Illinois	18	297	16.5
3. Murray State	18	284	15.8
4. SIUE	18	265	14.7
5. Tennessee Tech	18	258	14.3
6. Austin Peay	18	231	12.8
7. Southeast Missouri	18	216	12.0
8. Tennessee State	18	209	11.6
9. UT Martin	18	197	10.9
10. Morehead State	18	177	9.8

STEALS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. Tennessee State	18	198	11.0
2. SIUE	18	192	10.7
3. UT Martin	18	184	10.2
4. Eastern Illinois	18	181	10.1
5. Belmont	18	178	9.9
6. Southeast Missouri	18	155	8.6
7. Austin Peay	18	120	6.7
8. Morehead State	18	116	6.4
9. Tennessee Tech	18	114	6.3
10. Murray State	18	95	5.3

TURNOVER MARGIN	G	Team	Avg.	Opp.	Avg. Margin
1. Belmont	18	223	12.4	311	17.3 +4.9
2. UT Martin	18	263	14.6	315	17.5 +2.9
3. Tennessee State	18	345	19.2	390	21.7 +2.5
4. Eastern Illinois	18	281	15.6	306	17.0 +1.4
5. SIUE	18	370	20.6	378	21.0 +0.4
6. Austin Peay	18	287	15.9	278	15.4 -0.5
7. Tennessee Tech	18	253	14.1	221	12.3 -1.8
8. Murray State	18	239	13.3	198	11.0 -2.3
9. Southeast Missouri	18	351	19.5	289	16.1 -3.4
10. Morehead State	18	305	16.9	231	12.8 -4.1

ASSIST/TURNOVER RATIO	G	Ast.	Avg.	T/O	Avg.	Ratio
1. Belmont	18	318	17.7	223	12.4	1.4
2. Murray State	18	284	15.8	239	13.3	1.2
3. Eastern Illinois	18	297	16.5	281	15.6	1.1
4. Tennessee Tech	18	258	14.3	253	14.1	1.0
5. Austin Peay	18	231	12.8	287	15.9	0.8
6. UT Martin	18	197	10.9	263	14.6	0.7
7. SIUE	18	265	14.7	370	20.6	0.7
8. Southeast Missouri	18	216	12.0	351	19.5	0.6
9. Tennessee State	18	209	11.6	345	19.2	0.6
10. Morehead State	18	177	9.8	305	16.9	0.6

OFFENSIVE REBOUNDS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. SIUE	18	243	13.5
2. Tennessee Tech	18	224	12.4
3. Tennessee State	18	214	11.9
4. Eastern Illinois	18	207	11.5
5. Belmont	18	204	11.3
6. Southeast Missouri	18	191	10.6
7. Austin Peay	18	182	10.1
8. Murray State	18	171	9.5
9. Morehead State	18	164	9.1
10. UT Martin	18	112	6.2

DEFENSIVE REBOUNDS	G	No.	Avg/G
1. Murray State	18	506	28.1
2. Belmont	18	452	25.1
3. Tennessee Tech	18	439	24.4
4. Eastern Illinois	18	435	24.2
5. Austin Peay	18	433	24.1
6. SIUE	18	391	21.7
7. Morehead State	18	390	21.7
8. Southeast Missouri	18	379	21.1
9. UT Martin	18	373	20.7
10. Tennessee State	18	339	18.8

DEFENSIVE REB PCT.	G	D-Reb	Opp. OR	D-Reb %
1. Murray State	18	506	168	.751
2. Tennessee Tech	18	439	156	.738
3. Austin Peay	18	433	166	.723
4. Eastern Illinois	18	435	188	.698
5. Belmont	18	452	200	.693
6. UT Martin	18	373	174	.682
7. SIUE	18	391	193	.670
8. Southeast Missouri	18	379	216	.637
9. Morehead State	18	390	233	.626
10. Tennessee State	18	339	218	.609

OFFENSIVE REB PCT.	G	O-Reb	Opp. DR	O-Reb %
1. Tennessee Tech	18	224	376	.373
2. SIUE	18	243	443	.354
3. Belmont	18	204	387	.345
4. Murray State	18	171	342	.333
5. Eastern Illinois	18	207	415	.333
6. Tennessee State	18	214	440	.327
7. Austin Peay	18	182	386	.320
8. Southeast Missouri	18	191	430	.308
9. Morehead State	18	164	495	.249
10. UT Martin	18	112	423	.209

**2021-22 Ohio Valley Conference
CONFERENCE BASKETBALL STATISTICS
Through games of Feb 26, 2022 (Conference games only)**

	3-POINT FG MADE	G	No.	Avg/G
1.	Belmont	18	161	8.9
2.	Austin Peay	18	112	6.2
	UT Martin	18	112	6.2
	Tennessee Tech	18	112	6.2
5.	Morehead State	18	106	5.9
6.	Eastern Illinois	18	103	5.7
7.	Murray State	18	96	5.3
8.	SIUE	18	91	5.1
9.	Southeast Missouri	18	72	4.0
10.	Tennessee State	18	64	3.6

2021-22 Ohio Valley Conference
CONFERENCE BASKETBALL STATISTICS
Through games of Feb 26, 2022 (Conference games only)

Team	Conference	Pct.	PF	PA	Overall	Pct.	PF	PA	Current unbeaten streak
Belmont	16-2	.889	74.4	53.6	16-2	.889	74.4	53.6	Belmont -- 10
Tennessee Tech	14-4	.778	67.2	60.8	14-4	.778	67.2	60.8	Eastern Illinois -- 3
Murray State	13-5	.722	73.4	62.4	13-5	.722	73.4	62.4	Tennessee Tech -- 2
Eastern Illinois	11-7	.611	68.6	64.9	11-7	.611	68.6	64.9	SIUE -- 2
Austin Peay	11-7	.611	65.4	61.1	11-7	.611	65.4	61.1	Murray State -- 1
UT Martin	9-9	.500	59.3	59.0	9-9	.500	59.3	59.0	
SIUE	8-10	.444	68.8	73.0	8-10	.444	68.8	73.0	
Tennessee State	6-12	.333	62.9	70.3	6-12	.333	62.9	70.3	
Southeast Missouri	2-16	.111	57.9	72.3	2-16	.111	57.9	72.3	
Morehead State	0-18	.000	54.1	74.7	0-18	.000	54.1	74.7	

TEAM SUMMARIES	G	PF-PA	Avg score	Margin	FG-FGA	Pct.	3FG-FGA	Pct.	FT-FTA	Pct.	RebF	RebA	Margin
Austin Peay	18	1177-1100	65.4-61.1	+4.3	417-929	.449	112-296	.378	231-349	.662	615	552	+3.5
Belmont	18	1340-965	74.4-53.6	+20.8	488-1048	.466	161-463	.348	203-293	.693	656	587	+3.8
Eastern Illinois	18	1235-1169	68.6-64.9	+3.7	453-1061	.427	103-312	.330	226-296	.764	642	603	+2.2
Morehead State	18	974-1345	54.1-74.7	-20.6	356-993	.359	106-388	.273	156-223	.700	554	728	-9.7
Murray State	18	1321-1123	73.4-62.4	+11.0	467-960	.486	96-260	.369	291-361	.806	677	510	+9.3
SIUE	18	1239-1314	68.8-73.0	-4.2	450-1095	.411	91-287	.317	248-353	.703	634	636	-0.1
Southeast Missouri	18	1043-1302	57.9-72.3	-14.4	393-995	.395	72-262	.275	185-276	.670	570	646	-4.2
Tennessee State	18	1133-1265	62.9-70.3	-7.3	442-1062	.416	64-244	.262	185-260	.712	553	658	-5.8
Tennessee Tech	18	1210-1094	67.2-60.8	+6.4	410-954	.430	112-333	.336	278-395	.704	663	532	+7.3
UT Martin	18	1067-1062	59.3-59.0	+0.3	368-873	.422	112-318	.352	219-284	.771	485	597	-6.2

ATTENDANCE	Home	Average	Away	Average	Neutral	Average	Total	Average
Austin Peay	9-3931	437	9-10024	1114	0-0	0	18-13955	775
Belmont	9-12647	1405	9-7418	824	0-0	0	18-20065	1115
Eastern Illinois	9-6347	705	9-5578	620	0-0	0	18-11925	662
Morehead State	9-5263	585	9-4916	546	0-0	0	18-10179	566
Murray State	9-8281	920	9-7488	832	0-0	0	18-15769	876
SIUE	9-3671	408	9-6737	749	0-0	0	18-10408	578
Southeast Missouri	9-2983	331	9-4172	464	0-0	0	18-7155	398
Tennessee State	9-2875	319	9-8890	988	0-0	0	18-11765	654
Tennessee Tech	9-11250	1250	9-4353	484	0-0	0	18-15603	867
UT Martin	9-9090	1010	9-6762	751	0-0	0	18-15852	881
Totals	90-66338	737	90-66338	737	0-0	0	180-132676	737